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# DEVELOPMENT, CHARACTERIZATION, AND CROSS-AMPLIFICATION of 16 microsatellite primers for Atriplex tatarica (Amaranthaceae) ${ }^{1}$ 

Eva Kondrysová ${ }^{2}$, Karol Krak ${ }^{2,3}$, and Bohumil MandáK ${ }^{2,3,4}$<br>${ }^{2}$ Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamýcká 129, CZ-165 21 Czech Republic; and ${ }^{3}$ Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Zámek 1, CZ-252 43 Průhonice, Czech Republic<br>- Premise of the study: Microsatellite primers were developed to characterize the genetic diversity and structure of the annual herb Atriplex tatarica (Amaranthaceae) and to facilitate ecological and evolutionary studies of A. tatarica and its relatives.<br>- Methods and Results: Sixteen novel microsatellite primers were developed for A. tatarica based on high-throughput sequencing of enriched libraries. All markers were polymorphic, with the number of alleles per locus ranging from three to 25 and observed and expected heterozygosity ranging from 0.08 to 0.74 and 0.10 to 0.87 , respectively. In addition, some of these loci were successfully amplified and showed polymorphisms in four Atriplex and seven Chenopodium species.<br>- Conclusions: The microsatellite markers published here will be useful in assessing genetic diversity, structure, and gene flow within and across populations of A. tatarica, as well as in other species of Atriplex and the related genus Chenopodium.
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The genus Atriplex L. (Amaranthaceae) numbers about 270 species (McArthur and Sanderson, 1984) distributed mainly in the deserts and semideserts in southwestern North America, in southern Australia, in southern Central Asia, in southwestern South America (Osmond et al., 1980; McArthur and Sanderson, 1984), or in coastal and solonchak regions of the Northern Hemisphere (Osmond et al., 1980). Most previous population studies in Atriplex used allozymes (Mandák et al., 2005, 2006a, 2006b), and highly variable microsatellites have been employed only in the study of the Australian species A. nummularia Lindl. (Byrne et al., 2008). To date, no nuclear simple sequence repeat markers (SSRs) have been developed specifically for A. tatarica L . and successfully cross-amplified to closely related Atriplex and Chenopodium L. species to enable population-level assessment of various representatives of the genus. Given the number of species in both the genus and the whole family, we expect that these markers will have broad applicability for conservation and population-level analyses.

Atriplex tatarica is an annual diploid $(2 n=2 x=18)$ with a mixed mating system (Mandák et al., 2005) and is native to a wide area of Eurasia (Kochánková and Mandák, 2008). Along
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with 13 other species, it belongs to the section Sclerocalymma (Asch.) Asch. \& Graebn. The distribution center of this section is located in southern Central Asia (Kochánková and Mandák, 2008). In Europe the species has a continental distribution. The northwestern border of its current continuous European range runs through the Czech Republic (southern Moravia), southern Slovakia, eastern Poland, and central Belarus, and its expansion in these countries has recently been reported (Kochánková and Mandák, 2008). The species possesses remarkable heterocarpy, which is morphologically manifested in the shape and size of bracteoles and in the size and color of fruits. Heterocarpy enables colonizing species such as A. tatarica to survive both major disturbances and unfavorable conditions (by ensuring that at least some seeds persist) and to expand during periods of favorable conditions (by ensuring that some seeds effectively spread and germinate) (Doudová et al., 2017). In this paper, we report the development and characterization of 16 novel microsatellite loci for A. tatarica. Additionally, we cross-amplified these loci in four and seven species of the genera Atriplex and Chenopodium, respectively.

## METHODS AND RESULTS

[^1]Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(11): 1700094; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2017 Kondrysová et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

Table 1. Characteristics of 16 polymorphic microsatellite loci of Atriplex tatarica.

| Locus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Primer sequences ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat motif | Allele size range (bp) | Fluorescent label | Concentration in multiplex reaction $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | GenBank accession no |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplex 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT22 | F: GTGCAACGAAGATGGATTTG | $(\mathrm{TGT})_{7}$ | 114-134 | 6-FAM | 0.1 | MF598744 |
|  | R: TGAGAAAGTGGAGCAAGAAGTG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT28 | F: CTGGACAGTTGTGAAAGCTCA | $(\mathrm{AG})_{6}$ | 161-222 | 6-FAM | 0.6 | MF598747 |
|  | R: CGTACCTATTGAGGTTCTCTCCC |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT15 | F: GGTATTCGTCGATTTCCCCT | $(\mathrm{TGT})_{8}$ | 101-134 | VIC | 0.1 | MF598741 |
|  | R: CGAGTTCGACTCGCCTAAGA |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT20 | F: GGTGGGTTTAATGGTGGAAA | $(\mathrm{AGA})_{7}$ | 139-150 | NED | 1.0 | MF598743 |
|  | R: CCTGAGTCGCGTTTGTACCT |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT17 | F: GGTGTTACCTACGCTTTCATTC | $(\mathrm{TG})_{8}$ | 100-118 | PET | 0.2 | MF598742 |
|  | R: ATTTGCAATTCCATGAGCCT |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT07 | F: TGGTCGTGGTAACCCTCTTC | $(\mathrm{TGT})_{11}$ | 153-188 | PET | 0.2 | MF598740 |
|  | R: AGCTCAGCGCAATTCAGTTT |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT38 | F: ATGGGCCAACATATTTTCCA | $(\mathrm{AC})_{6}$ | 102-104 | 6-FAM | 0.1 | MF598750 |
|  | R: GCATGTTTAGTTGGTAGCAAGG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT27 | F: GCAATCAGAGGCAGAACTCC | (TGC) ${ }_{6}$ | 218-233 | VIC | 0.1 | MF598746 |
|  | R: CCATGCTTGTTGAGTTTCTTCA |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT44 | F: TTCGATCGTTCTGTCGTAACC | (TG) 5 | 165-180 | VIC | 0.1 | MF598753 |
|  | R : TCCTTTCTAGACCACCCCAA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiplex 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT04 | F: TGTGAAAGTTGTCTTTGTGCAAC | $(\mathrm{TCT})_{14}$ | 94-200 | 6-FAM | 0.4 | MF598738 |
|  | R: CGAGTAAGACGATAGAGGAAGAGG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT05 | F: TTCTTGAGGGCTTTCTTGGA | $(\mathrm{TGT})_{12}$ | 86-108 | VIC | 0.1 | MF598739 |
|  | R: TGACCCTTTTGCTTTTAGGG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT25 | F: TAACTGGTGTTGGTCGGTGA | $(\mathrm{AGG})_{6}$ | 266-283 | NED | 0.2 | MF598745 |
|  | R: TAGGATCTTCTTCGGGTTCG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT30 | F: GAGGCAGAGACTGAAGGTGG | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{6}$ | 136-150 | PET | 0.1 | MF598748 |
|  | R: TTCTTCATTCCTCCGTTTCG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT31 | F: GAAGCCATGAAGGAGGTGAG | $(\mathrm{GT})_{6}$ | 137-161 | NED | 0.2 | MF598749 |
|  | R: CTGCCAGCAGCAATCAATTA |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT41 | F: TTGCACCAATGCAATCCTAA | $(\mathrm{TACA})_{5}$ | 190-230 | PET | 0.2 | MF598751 |
|  | R: AATAAGGCCAAGAGATCCCG |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT42 | F: GAGGTCTGGATCTGAGACGG | $(\mathrm{CATA})_{5}$ | 172-188 | VIC | 0.1 | MF598752 |
|  | R: CTTCCCCTTGCTTCCATAAA |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annealing temperature was $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for both multiplexes.
motifs. Primers were designed based on reads of the positive strands using QDD software (Meglécz et al., 2010).

Biological validation-Forty-seven candidate loci possessing perfect repeat motifs and different expected amplicon lengths within the 100-400-bp interval were selected and tested for amplification from all seven individuals. The PCR reactions were performed in $5-\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction volumes containing $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of genomic DNA, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both primers, and $1 \times$ QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix (QIAGEN). Reactions were performed with the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min ; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , annealing at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , and extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min ; and a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The PCR products were checked on $2 \%$ agarose gels. Of the markers that amplified successfully from all seven individuals, 24 were selected and used for initial polymorphism tests. In this step, PCRs were performed as described above, but only the forward primers were labeled by fluorescent dyes (6-FAM, VIC, PET, NED; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). The PCR products were diluted $5 \times$, and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the dilution was added to a mix of $12.0 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Hi-Di} \mathrm{Formamide} \mathrm{(Applied} \mathrm{Biosystems)} \mathrm{and}$ $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) for sequencing on an ABI PRISM 3130 Automated Capillary DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems). In the end, 16 polymorphic markers with well-scorable peaks were selected and combined into two multiplexes (Table 1). The sequences of the 454 reads containing these microsatellite loci have been deposited in the GenBank database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (Table 1). These two multiplexes (Table 1) were tested for polymorphism in 120 individuals from six geographically well-separated populations collected across Europe (Appendix 1).

Using the same reaction conditions as specified above, the primers were tested on DNA extracted from A. oblongifolia Waldst. \& Kit. (15 individuals tested), A. patula L. (15), A. prostrata DC. (3), A. sagittata Borkh. (20),
C. bonus-henricus L. (7), C. hybridum L. (6), C. polyspermum L. (4), C. pumilio R. Br. (6), C. rubrum L. (4), C. suecicum Murr (6), and C. urbicum L. (4) (Appendix 1).

Microsatellite data analysis-Allele size was determined using GeneMarker 2.6.4 (SoftGenetics, State College, Pennsylvania, USA). FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995) was used to calculate summary statistics for SSR loci such as the average number of alleles per locus and Weir and Cockerham's parameter $f\left(F_{\text {IS }}\right.$; Weir and Cockerham, 1984) as a measure of departure from within-population random mating. Observed and expected heterozygosities were calculated using GENEPOP (Rousset, 2008), and the deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was determined based on 10,000 permutations in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995). MICRO-CHECKER version 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to test for evidence of stuttering, allele dropout, and the presence of null alleles at each locus. The Brookfield 1 equation (Brookfield, 1996) was used to calculate null allele frequencies.

We identified 143 alleles at 16 microsatellite loci, with an average of 8.9 alleles per locus. The summary statistics for genetic variability across and within populations are presented in Table 2. The deficit of heterozygotes, computed over all populations and loci, was significant, as indicated by a relatively high inbreeding coefficient $(f=0.171)$. Eight out of 16 loci were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 2), which might be due to high levels of self-pollination and the strong bottleneck effect of newly founded expanding populations. No signs of stuttering or large allele dropout were detected. The average null allele frequency for each locus calculated using the Brookfield method detected the presence of null alleles at five loci (Table 2).

Fifteen microsatellite loci were successfully cross-amplified from some of the species tested (Table 3). The cross-amplification was more successful with closely related species of the genus Atriplex than of Chenopodium (Table 3).
Table 2. Genetic characterization of 16 newly developed polymorphic microsatellite loci across six populations of Atriplex tatarica. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Locus | B | Bulgaria ( $11 \mathrm{~A}, n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Poland ( $25 \mathrm{~A}, n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Germany (30A, $n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Romania (44A, $n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Hungary (62A, $n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Czech Republic (70A, $n=20$ ) |  |  |  | Overall ( $n=120$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | $H_{0}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{0}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{0}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $f$ |
| Multiplex 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT38 | 0.124 | 2 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.62* | 1 | ND | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND | 2 | 0.25 | 0.22 | -0.12 | 1 | ND | ND | ND | 2 | 0.10 | 0.10 | -0.03 | 3 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.28* |
| AT22 | $0.124 \dagger$ | 4 | 0.40 | 0.61 | 0.36* | 5 | 0.75 | 0.70 | -0.07 | 4 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 0.38* | 6 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 4 | 0.70 | 0.64 | -0.10 | 7 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.12* |
| AT28 | $0.223 \dagger$ | 7 | 0.41 | 0.84 | 0.52* | 6 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 5 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 5 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.59* | 6 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.18 | 12 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 0.28* |
| AT15 | -0.030 | 7 | 0.65 | 0.62 | -0.05 | 8 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 8 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.18 | 9 | 0.85 | 0.82 | -0.04 | 4 | 0.65 | 0.57 | -0.14 | 3 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 11 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.02 |
| AT44 | -0.005 | 2 | 0.11 | 0.10 | -0.03 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.14 | -0.04 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 1 | ND | ND | ND | 2 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.00* | 2 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 5 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.37* |
| AT27 | -0.008 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.14 | -0.04 | 4 | 0.55 | 0.47 | -0.18 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.32 | -0.17 | 4 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 5 | ND | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND | 6 | 0.24 | 0.24 | -0.09 |
| AT20 | $0.227 \dagger$ | 5 | 0.35 | 0.77 | 0.55* | 6 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.28* | 7 | 0.53 | 0.85 | 0.39* | 4 | 0.85 | 0.75 | -0.14 | 1 | 0.30 | 0.62 | 0.52* | 5 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.20 | 8 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 0.30* |
| AT17 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.90 | 0.71 | -0.27 | 4 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.51* | 5 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 4 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 5 | 0.60 | 0.57 | -0.06 |  | 0.70 | 0.68 | -0.03 | 5 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 0.04 |
| AT07 | $0.197 \dagger$ | 4 | 0.40 | 0.76 | 0.48* | 5 | 0.06 | 0.69 | 0.92* | 5 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.71* | 6 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 0.33* | 3 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.33 |  | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.62* | 12 | 0.26 | 0.71 | 0.58 |
| Multiplex 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT04 | 0.010 | 5 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 8 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.40* | 12 | 0.65 | 0.90 | 0.29* | 6 | 0.20 | 0.74 | 0.74* | 2 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 13 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.44* | 25 | 0.46 | 0.87 | 0.38* |
| AT05 | -0.074 | 5 | 0.65 | 0.55 | -0.19 | 5 | 0.70 | 0.60 | -0.17 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 7 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 |  | 0.55 | 0.45 | -0.23 | 6 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.09 |  | 0.57 | 0.68 | -0.07 |
| AT42 | -0.096 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.47 | -0.28 | 6 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.16 | 6 | 0.89 | 0.77 | -0.16 | 6 | 0.85 | 0.73 | -0.18 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 5 | 0.60 | 0.57 | -0.06 | 8 | 0.67 | 0.71 | -0.06 |
| AT31 | 0.018 | 8 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.07 | 6 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 9 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 6 | 0.85 | 0.81 | -0.05 | 3 | 0.70 | 0.62 | -0.14 | 6 | 0.80 | 0.78 | -0.03 | 9 | 0.74 | 0.84 | 0.03 |
| AT25 | -0.015 | 3 | 0.45 | 0.44 | -0.02 | 5 | 0.78 | 0.68 | -0.14 | 5 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 6 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 0.25* | 3 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.70 | 0.62 | -0.14 | 7 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.02 |
| AT30 | -0.014 | 4 | 0.50 | 0.49 | -0.02 | 5 | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.52 | -0.22 | 3 | 0.30 | 0.27 | -0.10 | 2 | ND | ND | ND | 5 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 6 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.05 |
| AT41 | $0.246 \dagger$ | 6 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 0.61* | 4 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 2 | 0.11 | 0.11 | -0.03 | 5 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.87* | 2 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 5 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.47* | 11 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.48* |
| Mean |  | 4.50 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.19* | 5.06 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.21* | 5.13 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.15* | 5.00 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.15* | 2.81 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.16* | 4.75 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.17* | 8.94 | 0.44 | 0.61 | 0.17* |
| s.d. |  | 1.79 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 1.73 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 2.85 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 2.85 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 1.28 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 2.67 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 5.03 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.21 |

Note: $A=$ number of alleles; $B=$ null allele frequency averaged over all populations using the Brookfield 1 equation (Brookfield, 1996); $f=$ inbreeding coefficient; $H_{\mathrm{e}}=$ expected heterozygosity; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Codes presented with populations correspond to voucher accession numbers. Voucher and locality information are provided in Appendix 1.
${ }^{*}$ Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $(P<0.05)$. $\dagger$ Loci with null alleles.
Table 3. Results of cross-amplification (allele size ranges) of 16 microsatellite loci developed for Atriplex tatarica tested in seven Chenopodium and four other Atriplex species. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Locus | A. oblongifolia $(n=15)$ | A. patula $(n=15)$ | A. prostrata $(n=3)$ | A. sagittata $(n=20)$ | C. bonus-henricus $(n=7)$ | C. hybridum $(n=6)$ | C. polyspermum $(n=4)$ | C. pumilio $(n=6)$ | C. rubrum $(n=4)$ | C. suecicum $(n=6)$ | C. urbicum $(n=4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplex 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT38 | 102-108 | 106 | - | 100-104 | 102-108 | - | - | 100-106 | 108 | - | 108 |
| AT22 | 114-125 | 114-118 | 118 | 118 | 128-148 | - | 118-147 | 111-121 | 121 | 110-113 | - |
| AT28 | 152-200 | 200-201 | - | 187-233 | 206 | - | 219 | 160-219 | - | 160-163 | - |
| AT15 | 127 | 104 | - | 124 | - | - | - | 113-138 | - | 111 | - |
| AT44 | 146-154 | 144-146 | 142 | 151 | 160-183 | 157 | 160-186 | 153 | 155 | 153 | 155 |
| AT27 | 218-230 | 230 | 230 | 227-230 | 199-218 | 213 | 218 | 213-218 | 207 | 218 | 207 |
| AT20 | 173 | 119-173 | - | 129 | - | - | - | 150 | - | - | - |
| AT17 | 127 | - | - | 134 | - | - | 123 | - | - | - | - |
| AT07 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Multiplex 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT04 | 90-204 | 91-126 |  | 91-204 | 232 | 254 | 131 | - | - | - | - |
| AT05 | 92 | - | 86 | 92 | 104 | - | 104 | - | - | - | - |
| AT42 | 180-187 | 180-184 | - | 186-184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 196 |
| AT31 | 141-143 | 143 | 141-191 | 143-179 | 148-150 | 159 | - | - | - | 145-161 | - |
| AT25 | - | - | 227 | - | 215 | 271 | 288 | - | - | 301 | - |
| AT30 | 134-145 | 134-148 | 139 | 134-145 | - | - | - | 99-117 | - | - | - |
| AT41 | 190-198 | 194-198 | 194-210 | 190-198 | 187 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^2]
## CONCLUSIONS

Sixteen polymorphic microsatellite loci were developed for A. tatarica. These markers will be valuable for investigating the population genetic structure, mating system, and phylogeographic pattern of this species. The cross-species amplification of these markers indicates that they may be widely useful in related Amaranthaceae species. We conclude that the SSRs described here will facilitate ecological and evolutionary studies of A. tatarica and related species.
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Appendix 1. Geographic information on Atriplex and Chenopodium populations in this study.

| Species | Voucher specimen accession no. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $N$ | Collection locality | Latitude ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) | Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atriplex tatarica L . | B. Mandak $1 A^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Győr, Hungary | 47.70633 | 17.48802 |
|  | B. Mandak 3A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Nagyhegyes, Hungary | 47.51795 | 21.35765 |
|  | B. Mandak $5 A^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Siculeni, Romania | 46.44174 | 25.76171 |
|  | B. Mandak $7 A^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Constanty, Romania | 44.28178 | 28.55689 |
|  | B. Mandak 9A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Dragodana, Romania | 44.72501 | 25.34959 |
|  | B. Mandak 11A ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$ | 20 | Sofia, Bulgaria | 42.74245 | 23.25354 |
|  | B. Mandak $12 A^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | Popovitsa, Bulgaria | 42.13607 | 25.05463 |
|  | B. Mandak $25 A^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | Wielgolas Brzeziński, Poland | 52.20053 | 21.41598 |
|  | B. Mandak 304 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | Berlin, Germany | 52.30090 | 13.19406 |
|  | B. Mandak $44 A^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | Tețcani, Romania | 47.03009 | 26.86970 |
|  | B. Mandak $62 A^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | Hegyháthodász, Hungary | 46.93466 | 16.66857 |
|  | B. Mandak $70 A^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | Velké Němčice, Czech Republic | 49.01046 | 16.68755 |
| Species used for cross-amplification |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. oblongifolia Waldst. \& Kit. | B. Mandak 930 | 5 | Cluj-Napoca, Apahida, Romania | 46.81814 | 23.74997 |
|  | B. Mandak 932 | 5 | Vlădeni. Romania | 47.41469 | 27.37454 |
|  | B. Mandak 936 | 5 | Horoměřice, Czech Republic | 50.13175 | 14.35309 |
| A. patula L . | B. Mandak 927 | 5 | Ladná, Czech Republic | 48.80956 | 16.89302 |
|  | B. Mandak 933 | 5 | Vlădeni, Romania | 47.40971 | 27.37309 |
|  | B. Mandak 928 | 5 | Cluj-Napoca, Barai, Romania | 46.85796 | 23.90922 |
| A. prostrata DC. | B. Mandak 95 | 3 | Helnæs, Denmark | 55.12278 | 10.03095 |
| A. sagittata Borkh. | B. Mandak 929 | 5 | Cluj-Napoca, Apahida, Romania | 46.79999 | 23.75494 |
|  | B. Mandak 931 | 5 | Vlădeni, Romania | 47.41469 | 27.37454 |
|  | B. Mandak 934 | 5 | Praha-Suchdol, Stěpnice, Czech Republic | 50.12898 | 14.36737 |
|  | B. Mandak 935 | 5 | Litohlavy, Czech Republic | 49.77035 | 13.55190 |
| Chenopodium bonus-henricus L. | B. Mandak 379 | 3 | Kladrubce, Czech Republic | 49.48618 | 13.68160 |
|  | B. Mandak 383 | 3 | Plužná, Czech Republic | 50.47151 | 14.79743 |
|  | B. Mandak 394 | 1 | Praha, Czech Republic | 50.11596 | 14.43306 |
| C. hybridum L . | B. Mandak 287 | 3 | Velké Němčice, Czech Republic | 48.99786 | 16.67289 |
|  | B. Mandak 295 | 3 | Kunszentmiklós, Hungary | 46.98325 | 19.25014 |
| C. polyspermum L . | B. Mandak 311 | 2 | Virt, Slovakia | 47.74325 | 18.32589 |
|  | B. Mandak 394 | 2 | Cehnice, Czech Republic | 49.22747 | 14.03011 |
| C. pumilio R. Br. | B. Mandak 327 | 3 | Praha, Czech Republic | 50.08723 | 14.40689 |
|  | B. Mandak 362 | 3 | Bachkovo, Bulgaria | 41.94260 | 24.85230 |
| C. rubrum L . | B. Mandak 281 | 2 | Rejšice, Czech Republic | 50.31997 | 14.97881 |
|  | B. Mandak 312 | 2 | Virt, Slovakia | 47.74325 | 18.32589 |
| C. suecicum Murr | B. Mandak 264 | 3 | Rumšiškės, Lithuania | 54.87940 | 24.19795 |
|  | B. Mandak 328 | 3 | Švermov, Czech Republic | 50.17681 | 14.10547 |
| C. urbicum L . | B. Mandak 335 | 4 | Hortobágy, Hungary | 47.58125 | 21.15842 |

Note: $N=$ number of individuals used.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ One voucher was collected from each sampled population. Herbarium vouchers are deposited in the author's collection at the Czech University of Life Sciences in Prague. Collector name and unique population number in our database are indicated.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Individual used to create a DNA genomic library.
${ }^{\text {c P Populations used for evaluating the quality of developed microsatellite loci. }}$
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[^1]:    Microsatellite development-Total genomic DNA of A. tatarica was extracted from $20-25 \mathrm{mg}$ of silica gel-dried leaf tissue from seven samples of different population origin (Appendix 1) using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). These samples were used by GenoScreen (Lille, France) to develop microsatellite loci following the protocol of Malausa et al. (2011) based on GS FLX Titanium pyrosequencing (454 Life Sciences, a Roche Company, Branford, Connecticut, USA) of microsatellite-enriched DNA libraries. Microsatellite enrichment was carried out using eight microsatellite probes $\left[(\mathrm{AG})_{10},(\mathrm{AC})_{10},(\mathrm{AAC})_{8},(\mathrm{AGG})_{8},(\mathrm{ACG})_{8},(\mathrm{AAG})_{8},(\mathrm{ACAT})_{6},(\mathrm{ATCT})_{6}\right]$. The sequencing yielded 32,229 reads, and 1956 of these contained microsatellite

[^2]:    Note: $n=$ number of individuals used.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Locality and population information are provided in Appendix 1.

