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#### Abstract

- Premise of the study: The evolutionarily and ecologically distinct coco de mer palm Lodoicea maldivica (Arecaceae) is endemic to two islands in the Seychelles. Before colonization of the islands by man, the endangered palm formed large monodominant stands, but its natural range is now restricted to four main populations and several patches of isolated individuals. Microsatellite markers were designed to investigate the genetic structure of the remaining natural populations of $L$. maldivica. - Methods and Results: We developed 12 polymorphic and three monomorphic microsatellite markers for this species, with a mean number of alleles per locus of 13.2 (range 5-21) and expected heterozygosity values ranging from $0.31-0.91$ for the polymorphic loci. - Conclusions: These markers enable us to study the patterns of genetic diversity, contemporary seed dispersal, and the fine-scale spatial genetic structure of this important conservation flagship species.
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Lodoicea maldivica (J. F. Gmel.) Pers. (Arecaceae; coco de mer) is an evolutionarily and ecologically distinct dioecious palm (Edwards et al., 2002, 2015) that holds several botanical records, among which are the largest female flowers in any palm and the largest seeds in the plant kingdom (Leishman et al., 2000). The species was once widespread across two Seychelles islands, Praslin and Curieuse (Malavois, 1787, quoted in Fauvel, 1909), but now persists in only four main semiconnected populations-at Vallée de Mai, Fond Peper, and Fond Ferdinand on Praslin, and also on Curieuse Island (Fleischer-Dogley et al., 2011).

The total L. maldivica population on Praslin and Curieuse was estimated at 24,376 individuals in 2004, but despite the relatively large population size, reproductive female trees make up only a small proportion (15.6\%) of the population (FleischerDogley, 2006). The recent population reduction is due to habitat degradation arising from several serious fires and lumber
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harvest (Bailey, 1942). Although L. maldivica nut kernel has been listed in the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), which prohibits exportation without a license, unsustainable harvesting and poaching of nuts continues to threaten the species, as natural regeneration is severely limited (Rist et al., 2010). FleischerDogley et al. (2010) used amplified fragment length polymorphisms to assess genetic diversity in L. maldivica, but the dominant nature of the markers did not permit detailed genetic analyses. By developing microsatellite markers, we provide the foundation for in-depth molecular research on the ecology and population genetics of the species, and a tool for the conservation and sustainable production of L. maldivica nontimber products. This study reports the isolation and characterization of 12 polymorphic and three monomorphic microsatellite loci in L. maldivica.

## METHODS AND RESULTS

Size-selected fragments from genomic DNA were enriched for simple sequence repeat (SSR) content using magnetic streptavidin beads and biotinlabeled CT and GT repeat oligonucleotides. The SSR-enriched library was made by the company ecogenics (Balgach, Switzerland) and analyzed on a Roche 454 platform using the GS FLX Titanium reagents (454 Life Sciences, a Roche Company, Branford, Connecticut, USA). The 6607 reads had an average length of 143 base pairs. Of these, 617 contained a microsatellite insert with a tetra- or a trinucleotide of at least six repeat units or a dinucleotide of at least 10 repeat units. Primer design was done using the Primer3 core (Rozen and Skaletsky, 1999). Suitable primer design was possible in 212 reads. Seventy-eight primer pairs were tested, and the most reliable polymorphic candidates were optimized. Genomic DNA was extracted from silica gel-dried L. maldivica leaf or
Table 1. Characteristics of the 12 polymorphic and three monomorphic microsatellite loci in Lodoicea maldivica. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Locus | Primer sequences ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat motif | Allele size range (bp) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Fluorescent dye | Multiplex ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | GenBank accession no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplex PCR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lm4716 | F: TGGAGAGTACAATAGATGAAATGCC | (CA) ${ }_{12}$ | 128-140 | YY | MP1 | 0.30 | KT897315 |
|  | R: AACGGAGTTATCATGCTTGC |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Lm2630 | F: AAATAAGAGCAACCAGAGAAGTC | $(\mathrm{GA})_{16}$ | 121-157 | ATTO565 | MP1 | 0.30 | KT897316 |
|  | R: GCAGGTGTCTCAATCAAGGC |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Lm8853 | F: CTATGGTCTAGGTGGACGCC | $(\mathrm{ATGT})_{9}$ | 193-231 | ATTO550 | MP1 | 0.20 | KT897317 |
|  | R: GGCTGGACATGCGTTCTATG |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Lm5648 | F: CCAAGACTGTAACTTGTTCCCC | $(\mathrm{TATC})_{12}$ | 235-285 | FAM | MP1 | 0.20 | KT897318 |
|  | R: AGGCTTAGTGTTCAGGACCG |  |  |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Lm6782 | F: GGTCTAAAACTATTGGAGCAAATCAA | (TATG) ${ }_{12}$ | 252-334 | ATTO565 | MP2 | 0.30 | KT897319 |
|  | R: AGACTCTTAAGTGGGCGAAC |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Lm1153 | F: TTGGGATACATGAGAGCGGG | $(\mathrm{GA})_{13}$ | 120-166 | FAM | MP2 | 0.30 | KT897320 |
|  | R: AGATCAGTTGACTATTTGTTACTCTC |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |
| Singleplex $\mathrm{PCR}^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lm4293 | F: TCACCTTAGAGATGGTGCAGG | $(\mathrm{GTAT})_{7}$ | 138-200 | FAM | 1 | 0.08 | KT897321 |
|  | R: TGCACTTGAAGGTTACGTATG |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Lm1750 | F: AGTACTTAGGCATAGGCCAGC | $(\mathrm{TACA})_{10}$ | 218-234 | ATTO565 | 1 | 0.08 | KT897322 |
|  | R: ATGACATGGCCTGGAAGAGC |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Lm2407 | F: GGGATCCTCATCCCATGCTC | $(\mathrm{ACAT})_{9}$ | 84-112 | FAM | 1 | 0.06 | KT897323 |
|  | R: TCGTACCGCCTAAGCCTAAC |  |  |  |  | 0.24 |  |
| Lm6026 | F: AGAGCACTTTTTGCCAACCC | (TATG) 8 | 147-225 | YY | 1 | 0.06 | KT897324 |
|  | R: ACATCTCATGTGAGGGCATTC |  |  |  |  | 0.24 |  |
| Lm0144 | F: GCGCGTGCACACATAGATAG | (TAGA) ${ }_{8}$ | 244-280 | ATTO550 | 1 | 0.06 | KT897325 |
|  | R: CATGCTCTCCGCTAAAACCC |  |  |  |  | 0.24 |  |
| Lm2071 | F: CCATCTCCGCCATTTTTCCC | $(\mathrm{GA})_{13}$ | 104-138 | FAM | 2 | 0.08 | KT897326 |
|  | R: TACGCACCTACGTTCCTTCC |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Lm7170 | F: ACGCATGGGAAGGATCTCAC | $(\mathrm{ATAC})_{9}$ | $213{ }^{\text {e }}$ | FAM | 2 | 0.08 | KT962232 |
|  | R: ATGGGGGCTTGTCCATTAGG |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Lm1012 | F: GTCGATGGTGCTTCTAGCTG | (TACA) ${ }_{7}$ | $251{ }^{\text {e }}$ | ATTO565 | 2 | 0.08 | KT962233 |
|  | R: CCTGCTTACCATGAAAGGTCG |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Lm5950 | F: ACCGAATGGAACAAAGTCACAC | $(\mathrm{TATC})_{7}$ | $180^{\text {e }}$ | ATTO565 | 2 | 0.08 | KT962234 |
|  | R: CGTTAGAAACATAGGAAACAGCC |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |

[^1]TABLE 2. Genetic properties of 12 de novo microsatellite markers in the four extant Lodoicea maldivica populations. ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$

| Locus | Vallée de Mai ( $n=482$ ) |  |  |  | Fond Peper ( $n=293$ ) |  |  |  | Fond Ferdinand ( $n=265$ ) |  |  |  | Curieuse ( $n=212$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{HWE}^{\text {c }}$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{HWE}^{\text {c }}$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{HWE}^{\text {c }}$ | A | $H_{\text {o }}$ | $H_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{HWE}^{\text {c }}$ |
| Lm4716 | 4 | 0.525 | 0.514 | 3.243 ns | 5 | 0.455 | 0.467 | 5.893 ns | 3 | 0.457 | 0.500 | 3.749 ns | 7 | 0.476 | 0.548 | 518.001*** |
| Lm2630 | 17 | 0.570 | 0.879 | 1824.687*** | 17 | 0.543 | 0.909 | 1103.038*** | 18 | 0.598 | 0.895 | 807.148*** | 16 | 0.612 | 0.880 | $384.399 * * *$ |
| Lm8853 | 6 | 0.454 | 0.540 | 32.452** | 5 | 0.579 | 0.586 | 19.938* | 6 | 0.481 | 0.563 | 46.053*** | 5 | 0.566 | 0.608 | 23.262** |
| Lm5648 | 13 | 0.797 | 0.857 | 99.149 ns | 12 | 0.806 | 0.834 | 67.738 ns | 12 | 0.820 | 0.841 | 54.122 ns | 11 | 0.768 | 0.820 | 159.926*** |
| Lm6782 | 15 | 0.429 | 0.747 | 1213.166*** | 13 | 0.463 | 0.716 | 536.756*** | 13 | 0.398 | 0.699 | 623.970*** | 17 | 0.401 | 0.743 | 738.903*** |
| Lm1153 | 18 | 0.482 | 0.831 | 1148.177*** | 16 | 0.569 | 0.802 | 711.396*** | 16 | 0.537 | 0.823 | 1013.648*** | 15 | 0.398 | 0.846 | 720.193*** |
| Lm4293 | 9 | 0.155 | 0.437 | 1791.297*** | 7 | 0.310 | 0.519 | 294.419*** | 9 | 0.191 | 0.415 | 1046.787*** | 14 | 0.194 | 0.602 | 1163.556*** |
| Lm1750 | 5 | 0.573 | 0.649 | $53.724^{* * *}$ | 5 | 0.657 | 0.675 | 15.885 ns | 4 | 0.564 | 0.633 | 14.284* | 5 | 0.524 | 0.632 | $24.213^{* *}$ |
| Lm2407 | 6 | 0.258 | 0.309 | 66.480*** | 6 | 0.337 | 0.369 | 21.129 ns | 6 | 0.354 | 0.406 | 35.106** | 7 | 0.448 | 0.597 | 97.316*** |
| Lm6026 | 11 | 0.412 | 0.791 | 1526.267*** | 11 | 0.444 | 0.758 | 824.227*** | 10 | 0.361 | 0.791 | 704.062*** | 8 | 0.341 | 0.754 | 432.905*** |
| Lm0144 | 8 | 0.374 | 0.603 | $343.146 * * *$ | 8 | 0.425 | 0.646 | 689.482*** | 6 | 0.395 | 0.648 | 176.941*** | 9 | 0.320 | 0.706 | $370.609^{* * *}$ |
| Lm2071 | 15 | 0.838 | 0.852 | 161.679*** | 15 | 0.771 | 0.823 | 110.342 ns | 13 | 0.817 | 0.853 | 94.963 ns | 13 | 0.830 | 0.830 | 77.941 ns |

Note: $A=$ number of alleles; $H_{\mathrm{e}}=$ expected heterozygosity; $H_{\mathrm{o}}=$ observed heterozygosity; HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; $n=$ number of individuals sampled.
${ }^{a}$ Geographic coordinates for the populations are: Vallée de Mai $=4^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 55^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; Fond Peper $=4^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 55^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; Fond Ferdinand $=$ $4^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 55^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; and Curieuse $=4^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 55^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sixteen individuals were tested from each population using the three monomorphic loci.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Deviations from HWE using $\chi^{2}$ tests: $* P \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* * P \leq 0.01, * * * P \leq 0.001 ; ~ \text { ns }=\text { not significant. }}$
flower tissue ( $n=1252$ ) following the DNeasy 96 Plant Kit (QIAGEN, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) manufacturer's protocol, except that grinding was carried out at four cycles of 30 s at 30 Hz , and the first incubation step was extended to 1 h at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Leaf tissue samples from L. maldivica individuals from each population are located at the Tissue Collection of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, United Kingdom (Appendix 1).

Two methods were used for PCR reactions: two multiplex PCRs were used to amplify six primers, and the remainder of the primers were amplified in singleplex. Multiplex PCRs (MP1 and MP2) were carried out using primers labeled with either FAM, ATTO565, ATTO550, or Yakima Yellow (YY) (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland) (Table 1). PCR amplifications were carried out in $10.3-\mu \mathrm{L}$ reactions containing $1 \times$ PCR Buffer (colorless Flexi GoTaq PCR buffer), 0.2 mM dNTPs, $3.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.05 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Taq Polymerase (all Promega Corporation, Zürich, Switzerland), $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ bovine serum albumin (BSA; BioConcept, Allschwil, Switzerland), $1.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA, labeled forward primers, and unlabeled forward and reverse primers (for primer concentrations see Table 1).

Touchdown PCRs were carried out on a Bio-Rad Dyad Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA) with the following conditions: initial denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 4 \mathrm{~min} ; 12 \times$ (denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, starting annealing temperature $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, decreasing by $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ cycle, extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ); $29 \times$ (MP1) $/ 28 \times$ (MP2) (denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, annealing $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 45 \mathrm{~s}$, extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ); and final extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ and storage at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR product $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of HIDI formamide and $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA).

The singleplex PCRs used forward primers labeled with M13 tails (5'-TGTA-AAACGACGGCCAGT- $3^{\prime}$ ) at the $5^{\prime}$ ends (as described by Schuelke, 2000) (Table 1). PCRs occurred in $11-\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction volumes containing $1 \times$ PCR Buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, $2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.025 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Taq Polymerase, $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ BSA, $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA, forward primers with M13 tails, reverse primers and M13primer universal tails labeled with either FAM, ATTO565, ATTO550, or YY (Microsynth) (for primer concentrations see Table 1). Cycling for singleplex PCRs was as follows: initial denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~min}$; $12 \times$ (denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, starting annealing temperature $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, decreasing by $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ cycle, extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ); $25 \times$ (denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, annealing $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 45 \mathrm{~s}$, extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ); $8 \times$ (denaturation $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$, annealing $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 45 \mathrm{~s}$, extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 45 \mathrm{~s}$ ); and final extension $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ and storage at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR products were combined to create two pseudo-multiplex mixes (Table 1). For each PCR product (Lm4293, Lm2407, Lm6026, and Lm0144 were diluted 20× first), $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were added to $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of HIDI formamide and $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems). Singleplex and multiplex products were denatured for 3 min at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and run on an ABI 3730 xl automatic capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Electropherograms were scored with GeneMarker 2.6.0 (SoftGenetics, State College, Pennsylvania, USA).

The number of alleles, deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and observed and expected heterozygosity values were calculated (Table 2) using GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Linkage disequilibrium was tested in GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). The 12 polymorphic loci
revealed between five and 21 alleles, with a total of 158 alleles across all L. maldivica individuals (Table 2). Significant deviation from HWE was seen in the majority of loci in all populations (Table 2). Expected heterozygosity values ranged from 0.399-0.896 (mean $\pm$ SE: $0.687 \pm 0.048$ ) for the polymorphic markers. No significant linkage disequilibrium was detected between loci pairs after sequential Bonferroni correction $(\alpha=0.05)$ (Holm, 1979). The putative presence of null alleles in 11 loci (all except the monomorphic loci and Lm4716) was detected using MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004); however, these are unlikely to affect HWE at such low frequencies (Dakin and Avise, 2004). There was no evidence for large allele dropout.

## CONCLUSIONS

We developed 12 highly polymorphic and three monomorphic loci for L. maldivica, with allele numbers ranging from five to 21 for the polymorphic loci. The pattern of homozygote excess can be observed across almost all loci in all populations. This can likely be explained by high inbreeding levels due to the very clustered growth patterns observed in the species. These markers will provide a useful tool in investigating the natural population structure, seed dispersal patterns, and fine-scale genetic structure of this highly charismatic and important endemic palm species (Morgan et al., in prep.).
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Appendix 1. Locations and DNA bank information for populations of Lodoicea maldivica used in this study. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Population | Cohort | UTM coordinates ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Tissue collection no. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vallée de Mai, Praslin | Adult male | $359634.8 \mathrm{mE}, 9521289.06 \mathrm{mN}$ |  |
| Vallée de Mai, Praslin | Adult male | $359660.3 \mathrm{mE}, 9521279.96 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6091 |
| Fond Peper, Praslin | Adult male | $359871.3 \mathrm{mE}, 9520653.71 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6092 |
| Fond Peper, Praslin | Juvenile | $359634.8 \mathrm{mE}, 9520672.20 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6093 |
| Fond Ferdinand, Praslin | Adult female | $361575.2 \mathrm{mE}, 9518670.34 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6094 |
| Fond Ferdinand, Praslin | Juvenile | $361494.4 \mathrm{mE}, 9518728.30 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6095 |
| Curieuse Island | Juvenile | $358386.5 \mathrm{mE}, 9526223.40 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6096 |
| Curieuse Island | Immature | $358391.0 \mathrm{mE}, 9526213.75 \mathrm{mN}$ | 6097 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Silica gel-dried leaf samples deposited at the Tissue Collection of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, United Kingdom. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Universal Transverse Mercator coordinates: WGS 84, UTM Zone 40S.
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