



Development of 15 Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers for *Ficus virens* (Moraceae)

Authors: Fu, Rong-Hua, Li, Yun-Xiang, Liu, Mei, and Quan, Qiu-Mei

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 5(1)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600101>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

DEVELOPMENT OF 15 POLYMORPHIC MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR *FICUS VIRENS* (MORACEAE)¹

RONG-HUA FU^{2,3}, YUN-XIANG LI², MEI LIU³, AND QIU-MEI QUAN^{2,4}

²Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (Ministry of Education), China West Normal University, Nanchong, Sichuan 637002, People's Republic of China; and ³Ecological Security and Protection Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Mianyang Normal University, Sichuan 621000, People's Republic of China

- **Premise of the study:** *Ficus virens* (Moraceae) is distributed widely in South and Southeast Asia, Melanesia, and northern Australia, and it is also cultivated outside its original northern range limit in southwestern China. Therefore, the species is well suited to explore the mechanism of range limits of *Ficus* species. However, little is known about its genetic background.
- **Methods and Results:** Fifteen polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed using the biotin-streptavidin capture method. Polymorphism was tested in 85 *F. virens* individuals sampled from three populations. The number of alleles ranged from three to 17. The observed and expected heterozygosity of each population varied from 0.0667 to 0.9286 and 0.0650 to 0.8890, respectively. Cross-species amplification was also carried out in eight other *Ficus* species.
- **Conclusions:** These 15 markers will be valuable for studying the genetic variation and population structure of *F. virens* and related *Ficus* species.

Key words: cross-amplification; *Ficus virens*; genetic diversity; microsatellite; Moraceae; southwest China.

As keystone species, fig trees (*Ficus* L., Moraceae) play a significant role in both tropical and subtropical ecosystems by providing food for many vertebrates (Shanahan et al., 2001). Some *Ficus* species are widely cultivated outside their natural distribution range, providing a valuable opportunity to explore the mechanism of range limits in the genus (Lin et al., 2007). *Ficus virens* Aiton is a monoecious fig tree species in the subgenus *Urostigma* (Endl.) Miq. (Bartholomaeus et al., 2009). Its natural distribution is in South and Southeast Asia, Melanesia, and northern Australia (McPherson, 2005). It is also planted widely in southwestern China outside its original distribution regions, extending to the most northern regions for *Ficus* species in China (Zhang et al., 2014). Therefore, the fine-scale population genetic structure and gene flow among the northern populations of *F. virens* can offer valuable information for interpreting the mechanism of range limits of *Ficus* species. In this study, we have developed high-resolution microsatellite loci to provide crucial information for fine-scale genetic studies of this species (Rout and Aparajita, 2009).

METHODS AND RESULTS

Plant material and DNA extraction—Young and healthy leaves of *F. virens* were collected in Chengdu (30.68271°N, 104.10363°E), Mianyang

(31.47661°N, 104.78943°E), and Chongqing (29.8217°N, 106.42913°E) in southwestern China (Appendix 1). The sampled leaves were kept in allochroic silica gel for drying. Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.03 g dry leaves using a Plant Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China).

Development of microsatellite primers—Microsatellite primers were developed using the biotin-streptavidin capture method following the procedure of Kijas et al. (1994) and Zhang et al. (2016). *Mse*I (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Massachusetts, USA) was applied to digest the genomic DNA in a 25-μL reaction volume overnight at 37°C. Then the fragments were ligated to *Mse*I adapters (F: 5'-TACTCAGGACTCAT-3', R: 5'-GACGATGAGTCCTGAG-3') and amplified with *Mse*I-N primer (5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAN-3') with the following conditions: 3 min denaturation at 95°C; followed by 20 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 94°C, 1 min annealing at 53°C, and 1 min extension at 72°C; with a final extension of 72°C for 8 min. The PCR products were mixed with 5'-biotinylated probe (AC)₁₅ followed by 5 min denaturation at 95°C, and 2 h hybridization at 48°C. Hybridization products were captured with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The enriched product was amplified with *Mse*I-N as primers for an additional 30 cycles according to the conditions described above. Purification was then performed with a multifunctional DNA Extraction Kit (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China), the products were ligated into pGM-T vector (Tiangen Biotech), and then transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain DH5α (Tiangen Biotech). In total, 108 positive clones were selected and sequenced. These were tested by PCR using (AG)₁₀ and M13+/M13- as primers.

The selected positive clones were sequenced with primer M13+/M13- on an ABI 3730 DNA Sequence Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) at Sangon Biotech. Eighty-two clones contained simple sequence repeats, 47 of which were discarded because their sequences were too short for primer design. The remaining clones were used to design primers with Primer Premier version 5.0 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, California, USA). The criteria for primer design were as follows: (1) product size from 100 to 300 bp; (2) primer size from 16 to 23 bp with an optimum size of 20 bp; (3) primer melting temperature from 45°C to 65°C with an optimum temperature of 55°C; and (4) GC content of primers from 40% to 60%.

Thirty-five pairs of microsatellite primers were designed and successfully amplified. The polymorphism of each designed primer was tested using 23 randomly selected individuals. PCRs were performed in a 15-μL volume containing 1.5 μL of 10× PCR buffer (with Mg²⁺), 0.3 μL dNTPs (2 mM each), 0.1 μL each primer

¹Manuscript received 27 August 2016; revision accepted 17 November 2016.

The authors thank Yan Chen and Jun-Ying Deng for their suggestions on data analysis, Lu Tan for her assistance in the experiment, and Xiang-Yue Ding and Xi-Qian Yang for their help in sample collections. This study was supported by the Open Item of Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (Ministry of Education; XNYB11-2).

⁴Author for correspondence: meimeiq@163.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1600101

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(1): 1600101; <http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps> © 2017 Fu et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 15 microsatellite loci developed in *Ficus virens*.

| Locus | Primer sequences (5'–3') | Repeat motif | Allele size (bp) | T_a (°C) | A | GenBank accession no. |
|-------|---|--|------------------|------------|----|-----------------------|
| V33 | F: TGGTGAAGCAAAGACCGAAAC R: CCAAAGAAGACACGCAAGAT | (CT) ₇ | 112 | 58 | 3 | KU975125 |
| V42 | F: ATGGTAATGACCTGTGCTA R: AGTTGCTCTGTTATTGGTC | (AG) ₁₀ (AG) ₁₇ | 154 | 58 | 4 | KU975126 |
| V70 | F: CATCTCTCCGACGAAAGTGT R: TCAAGCAAAGACGAACTG | (AC) ₁₁ | 190 | 58 | 12 | KU975127 |
| V98 | F: GTATGGAAAGAGTCGAAGGGTG R: GGGTTATGTTTGGCAAGAGG | (CT) ₉ | 156 | 58 | 9 | KU975128 |
| V99 | F: CGTAGGCTTAGTTTCAGGACC R: TAGGCCACCAAGCATCGTTAG | (AG) ₈ | 111 | 58 | 9 | KU975129 |
| V131 | F: TCAAACCAACATCCCATTAAC R: CTTGAACAAGGAATAGGGCTCT | (AG) ₉ | 149 | 58 | 10 | KU975130 |
| V149 | F: ATGTAGGACTTTTGGAAACC R: AGCAGCACTGAAGGACATG | (TC) ₁₀ | 202 | 58 | 8 | KU975131 |
| V159 | F: AATTCGAGAAGTTCGTGGGT R: ACAACTCTAGGGATTGGTGCA | (TC) ₁₄ | 164 | 58 | 15 | KU975132 |
| V171 | F: GAGATGGCGAAAACAACA R: CAAAACCAACCGTATCA | (AG) ₁₂ | 131 | 58 | 6 | KU975133 |
| V183 | F: CCCATTGTGGTTCCCTG R: GCTTGATGCTTGGGTTG | (GA) ₁₇ | 234 | 58 | 17 | KU975134 |
| V188 | F: ATAGAGGGGCAAGGCAGTA R: CCTTGGGCTTAGATGACA | (GA) ₁₀ (GA) ₁₅ (AG) ₆ | 263 | 58 | 15 | KU975135 |
| V212 | F: CGCCCGTGAACGATACATA R: GGTGCTCATTGTATTGTCC | (TC) ₆ (CT) ₅ (AC) ₁₀ (AT) ₅ | 132 | 58 | 5 | KU975136 |
| V217 | F: CCCACCAAGGAGATTAG R: ATACCAAGAAAGGACGCT | (AG) ₁₄ | 175 | 58 | 6 | KU975137 |
| V230 | F: CCGCAGGGTTGTCATTG R: GGCCGAGGACCATCTT | (GA) ₁₈ (AG) ₆ | 119 | 56 | 10 | KU975138 |
| V254 | F: ACTCATATCATCAAAACACGTT R: AATTCAGTGCAGTGTAGTAGT | (TC) ₉ | 190 | 58 | 11 | KU975139 |

Note: A = number of alleles sampled; T_a = annealing temperature.

(10 μ M), 2 μ L of 50 ng/ μ L DNA, 0.2 μ L Blend Taq (2.5 units; Toyobo Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan), and 12.6 μ L of DNase-free water. PCR cycling was performed using the program described by Zhang et al. (2016). The products were first checked on 1% agarose gels, then settled on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and visualized by silver staining with pUC19 DNA/*MapI* (*HpaII*) (Fermentas International, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) as the ladder. Of the 35 microsatellite primers, 20 were monomorphic and 15 were polymorphic.

Genetic variation of three populations (Chengdu, Mianyang, and Chongqing) was measured using selected polymorphic primers to further test the amplification

stability of each primer. The forward primers of each polymorphic locus were labeled with fluorescent dyes (5'-TAMRA, 5'-ROX, 5'-FAM [FITC]; Sangon Biotech) for scoring fragment length on an ABI 3130 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems), using GeneScan 500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems) as an internal lane standard. The fragment lengths were calculated by GeneMapper 4.0 (Applied Biosystems) software.

Data analysis—Linkage disequilibrium and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were calculated using GENEPOP 4.0 (Rousset, 2008), and occurrence

TABLE 2. Genetic diversity of the 15 polymorphic microsatellite in three *Ficus virens* populations.^a

| Locus | Chengdu ($n = 27$) | | | | Mianyang ($n = 30$) | | | | Chongqing ($n = 28$) | | | |
|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | A | H_o | H_e | HWE | A | H_o | H_e | HWE | A | H_o | H_e | HWE |
| V33 | 3 | 0.1111 | 0.1063 | 1.0000 | 3 | 0.0667 | 0.0650 | 1.0000 | 2 | 0.0714 | 0.1352 | 0.1081 |
| V42 | 4 | 0.5556 | 0.6173 | 0.6996 | 3 | 0.6333 | 0.6428 | 1.0000 | 4 | 0.1071 | 0.6716 | 0.0000* |
| V70 | 7 | 0.2593 | 0.7936 | 0.0001* | 9 | 0.6333 | 0.8450 | 0.1580 | 8 | 0.6071 | 0.7532 | 1.0000 |
| V98 | 7 | 0.5926 | 0.7524 | 0.1233 | 7 | 0.4333 | 0.6939 | 0.0017* | 6 | 0.4643 | 0.5874 | 0.1137 |
| V99 | 6 | 0.5926 | 0.5720 | 0.7068 | 6 | 0.4667 | 0.6344 | 0.4789 | 5 | 0.4643 | 0.6626 | 0.1137 |
| V131 | 10 | 0.5926 | 0.7874 | 0.2444 | 9 | 0.5667 | 0.7539 | 0.7033 | 9 | 0.5000 | 0.8272 | 0.3023 |
| V149 | 7 | 0.7037 | 0.7051 | 0.6971 | 7 | 0.6667 | 0.6322 | 1.0000 | 6 | 0.7500 | 0.6754 | 0.2510 |
| V159 | 7 | 0.4444 | 0.6790 | 0.0000* | 9 | 0.6333 | 0.8244 | 0.0000* | 12 | 0.9286 | 0.8253 | 0.6714 |
| V171 | 5 | 0.7778 | 0.6934 | 0.2405 | 5 | 0.5667 | 0.6889 | 1.0000 | 6 | 0.6786 | 0.6818 | 0.4655 |
| V183 | 13 | 0.6296 | 0.8683 | 0.0004* | 12 | 0.7000 | 0.8633 | 0.1580 | 13 | 0.6429 | 0.8890 | 0.0729 |
| V188 | 13 | 0.8148 | 0.8532 | 0.6456 | 11 | 0.6000 | 0.7683 | 0.1320 | 12 | 0.7143 | 0.8138 | 0.6714 |
| V212 | 4 | 0.1852 | 0.6440 | 0.0014* | 4 | 0.2667 | 0.7483 | 0.0124* | 5 | 0.0714 | 0.7679 | 0.0000* |
| V217 | 5 | 0.2963 | 0.3539 | 0.2698 | 5 | 0.3000 | 0.4500 | 0.1786 | 4 | 0.3214 | 0.4841 | 0.2034 |
| V230 | 6 | 0.7407 | 0.7298 | 0.7068 | 9 | 0.6667 | 0.6606 | 1.0000 | 7 | 0.6071 | 0.7876 | 0.1995 |
| V254 | 10 | 0.2963 | 0.8176 | 0.0000* | 8 | 0.0667 | 0.8367 | 0.0000* | 7 | 0.2857 | 0.7506 | 0.0003* |

Note: A = number of alleles sampled; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; n = number of individuals sampled.

^aVoucher and locality information are provided in Appendix 1.

*Significant deviation from HWE ($P < 0.05$).

TABLE 3. Amplification of 15 microsatellite loci developed for *Ficus virens* in eight other *Ficus* species.

| Locus | <i>Ficus altissima</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus benjamina</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus deltoidea</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus hispida</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus microcarpa</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus montana</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus rumphii</i> (n = 5) | <i>Ficus sarmentosa</i> (n = 5) |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| V33 | — | + | — | — | + | — | — | — |
| V42 | + | — | + | — | + | — | + | — |
| V70 | — | + | + | + | + | + | + | — |
| V98 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | — |
| V99 | + | + | — | — | + | + | + | + |
| V131 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | — |
| V149 | + | + | — | + | + | + | + | + |
| V159 | — | + | — | + | + | — | — | — |
| V171 | + | + | — | — | + | + | — | + |
| V183 | + | + | + | — | + | + | + | + |
| V188 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| V212 | + | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| V217 | + | + | — | + | + | + | + | + |
| V230 | + | + | — | + | — | + | + | + |
| V254 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

Note: + = primers could be successfully amplified; — = primers could not be amplified.

of null allele frequencies was tested with MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004). The genetic variations were estimated for two sampled populations by FSTAT 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 2001), using the parameters of the number of alleles at each locus, observed heterozygosity, and expected heterozygosity.

Linkage disequilibrium was not detected in any loci. The number of alleles per locus ranged from three to 17, with an average of 9.333 (Table 1). The observed heterozygosity and expected heterozygosity of each population ranged from 0.0667 to 0.9286 and 0.0650 to 0.8890, respectively (Table 2). Five loci (V70, V159, V183, V212, V254) in Chengdu, four loci (V98, V159, V212, V254) in Mianyang, and three loci (V42, V212, V254) in Chongqing showed significant departure from HW. Two loci (V212, V254) showed consistent deviation from HW. This could be caused by the presence of null alleles (present in six loci: V70, V98, V159, V183, V212, and V254) or by homozygote excess.

Cross-amplification in additional species—Cross-amplification of polymorphic microsatellite primers was performed on eight related species ($n = 5$ for each species), including *F. altissima* Blume, *F. benjamina* L., *F. deltoidea* Jack, *F. hispida* L. f., *F. microcarpa* L. f., *F. montana* Burm. f., *F. rumphii* Blume, and *F. sarmentosa* Buch.-Ham. ex Sm.

All 15 polymorphic primers amplified successfully (amplified a distinct band when the PCR products were checked on 1% agarose gel) in at least one of the eight additional *Ficus* species tested (Table 3). Two of the markers (V188, V254) were successfully amplified in all eight species, while one locus (V212) was only successfully amplified in *F. altissima*. Successful amplification across species did not appear to be correlated with the closeness of the genetic relationship of the species tested with *F. virens*. However, the cross-species sample size was limited and thus it is still likely that these markers will be most useful for closely related species.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, 15 microsatellite markers were developed specifically for *F. virens* and showed considerable polymorphism in all three studied populations. These markers can be used in further studies to explore the mating system, population genetic structure, and gene flow of *F. virens*.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher and locality information for *Ficus virens* specimens used in this study.^a

| Voucher accession no. ^b | Collection locality | Geographic coordinates |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| HWNU-FV20160805001-XqY, XyD | Chengdu, Sichuan | 30.68271°N, 104.10363°E |
| HWNU-FV20160801002-LT, RhF | Mianyang, Sichuan | 31.47661°N, 104.78943°E |
| HWNU-FV20160818003-LT, RhF | Chongqing | 29.8217°N, 106.42913°E |

^aVouchers are deposited in the Herbarium of China West Normal University, Nanchong, Sichuan, China.
^bCollectors: XqY = Xiqian Yang; XyD = Xiangyue Ding; LT = Lu Tan; RhF = Ronghua Fu.

LITERATURE CITED

BARTHOLOMAEUS, F., K. DAVIES, W. YE, N. KANZAKI, AND R. M. GIBLIN-DAVIS. 2009. *Schistonchus virens* sp. n. (Aphelenchoididae) and *Parasitodiplogaster australis* sp. n. (Diplogastridae) from *Ficus virens* (Moraceae) in Australia. *Nematology* 11: 583–601.

GOUDET, J. 2001. FSTAT (version 2.9.3): A program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. Institute of Ecology, Lausanne, Switzerland. Website <http://www.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm> [accessed 11 June 2015].

KUAS, J. M., J. C. FOWLER, C. A. GARBETT, AND M. R. THOMAS. 1994. Enrichment of microsatellites from the citrus genome using biotinylated oligonucleotide sequences bound to streptavidin-coated magnetic particles. *BioTechniques* 16: 656–660, 662.

LIN, S. L., N. X. ZHAO, Y. Z. CHEN, J. Y. YAO, AND X. C. JIA. 2007. Distribution of figs (*Ficus*) in China and its significance in the issues for interspecific co-evolution. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 27: 4278–4288.

MCPHERSON, J. 2005. Phenology of six *Ficus* L., Moraceae, species and its effects on pollinator survival, in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. *Geographical Research* 43: 297–305.

ROUSSET, F. 2008. GENEPOP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 103–106.

ROUT, G. R., AND S. APARAJITA. 2009. Genetic relationships among 23 *Ficus* accessions using inter-simple sequence repeat markers. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology* 12: 91–96.

SHANAHAN, M., S. SO, S. G. COMPTON, AND R. CORLETT. 2001. Fig-eating by vertebrate frugivores: A global review. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 76: 529–572.

VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.

ZHANG, L. S., S. G. COMPTON, H. XIAO, Q. LU, AND Y. CHEN. 2014. Living on the edge: Fig tree phenology at the northern range limit of monoecious *Ficus* in China. *Acta Oecologica* 57: 135–141.

ZHANG, L. S., L. TAN, D. M. HU, AND Y. CHEN. 2016. Development of 14 polymorphic microsatellite loci for *Ficus tikoua* (Moraceae). *Applications in Plant Sciences* 4: 1500099.