



## **Fast Reactivation by High Air Humidity and Photosynthetic Performance of Alpine Lichens Growing Endolithically in Limestone**

Authors: Weber, Bettina, Scherr, Claudia, Reichenberger, Hans, and Büdel, Burkhard

Source: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 39(2) : 309-317

Published By: Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado

URL: [https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430\(2007\)39\[309:FRBHAH\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2007)39[309:FRBHAH]2.0.CO;2)

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Fast Reactivation by High Air Humidity and Photosynthetic Performance of Alpine Lichens Growing Endolithically in Limestone

Bettina Weber<sup>\*‡</sup>

Claudia Scherr<sup>†</sup>

Hans Reichenberger<sup>\*</sup> and

Burkhard Büdel<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Biology, Plant Ecology and Systematics, University of Kaiserslautern, P.O. Box 3049, D-67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany

<sup>†</sup>Society for Cancer Research, Institute Hiscia, Kirschweg 9, 4144 Arlesheim, Switzerland

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author.  
weberb@rhrk.uni-kl.de

## Abstract

This paper is dedicated to Otto Ludwig Lange on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

*Hymenelia prevostii* and *H. coerulea* are common endolithic lichen species on limestone outcrops in the eastern alpine mountains. We investigated their photosynthetic properties under controlled laboratory conditions and analyzed them with respect to relevant meso- and macroclimatic parameters to determine specific adaptations to the extreme habitat.

For the first time, it can be shown that endolithically living lichens are able to utilize water vapor alone to gain positive net photosynthesis (NP) rates. With respect to liquid water, both species reached optimum NP rates at low water content (*H. prevostii*: 0.26 mm, *H. coerulea*: 0.07 mm rainfall equivalent), and NP was increasingly depressed at water suprasaturation. In their preferred microhabitat on steep limestone outcrops in alpine regions, endolithic lichens avoid inundation over long time spans, but could perfectly utilize the high air humidity of monthly means between 60 and 80%. Due to light reduction by endolithic growth, both species revealed relatively high photosynthetic light compensation and saturation values, thus avoiding the high light intensities of the alpine habitat. Both lichen species were able to perform 90% of their optimal NP over a wide temperature range and still reached about one-third of their maximum NP rates at 2 °C, demonstrating their capability to cope with the large temperature changes occurring in their habitat.

## Introduction

Endolithic organisms, such as cyanobacteria, algae, and lichens, use different habitats within the rock (Golubic et al., 1981). Species that use existing rock fissures to grow in (= chasmoendolithic) are distinguished from other species that actively penetrate into the substrate (= euendolithic; Golubic et al., 1981).

The first descriptions of endolithic lichens were given by Fünfstück (1895), who observed hyphae of *Verrucaria marmorea* Scop. as deep as 19 mm within the rock. More detailed and specific studies on endolithic lichens were conducted by Bachmann, who described the morphology of endolithic species both on granite (1904) and on calcareous rocks (1913). Several types of endolithic lichens and their mechanical effects on different kinds of underlying substrate were investigated by Fry (1924, 1927). Morphological descriptions of endolithic Verrucariaceae were included in a key of that family developed some years later (Zschacke, 1934). Their anatomy, structure, and development were later studied in detail by Doppelbaur (1959). Investigation methods using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis were improved by Golubic et al. (1970), who developed a new casting-embedding method, which allowed for the preservation of either the substrate or the organisms within their natural position. Using transmission electron microscopy, Kushnir and Galun (1977) examined the fungus-alga relationship in endolithic algae and compared it with that in epilithic lichens.

The rock-weathering activity of endolithic lichens was first described by Lindsay (1856). Bachmann (1904, 1916) conducted detailed studies on the effects of both algae and lichens on the surrounding limestone and granite substrate. First experiments on

the mechanical weathering activity of lichens were conducted by Fry (1924, 1927), who tested the effect of contraction and expansion of gelatinous material on a variety of substrates. Degelius (1962) investigated a variety of lichen genera containing endolithic species and pointed out that abiotic factors influence the occurrence and weathering activity of endolithic species. Abiotic factors such as temperature, rainfall, and dewfall were measured by Danin and Garty (1983) and were correlated with the occurrence of different organism groups and with the observed weathering patterns. The amount of material being weathered away was considered to be substantial by Danin et al. (1983), who hypothesized eolian transport of the weathered material from the Middle East into the Terra Rossa soil of the Mediterranean region where it forms a major soil component. Detailed measurements by Wessels and Schoeman (1988) indicated a substantial weathering rate by endolithic lichens. In contrast, Hoppert et al. (2004) described stabilizing mechanisms of lithobiontic organisms on carbonate rocks along with the primary deteriorative effects. The two *Hymenelia* species that were used in our study are also understood to dissolve rock material because of their euendolithic growth form. Their anatomy and effect on the surrounding substrate will be treated in a separate publication (Weber et al., in prep.).

Endolithic lichens occur in a large variety of climatic conditions, as they are present both in hot and cold deserts (Friedmann, 1980; Wessels and Kappen, 1993) as well as in temperate regions (Wirth, 1995; Purvis et al., 1992; Esslinger, 2006). They are mainly restricted by the availability of bare rock surfaces and by certain climatic conditions, such as hot and humid weather where rocks are covered with free-living cyanobacteria

and algae (Büdel, 1999). Under these conditions, endolithic lichens seldom occur. In the European alpine mountains, limestones are extensively covered by epi- and endolithic lichens (e.g. Buschardt, 1979; Hofmann et al., 1993; Poelt and Türk, 1984; Poelt and Mayrhofer, 1985; Türk, 1992; Türk and Breuss, 1994; Von Wittmann et al., 1989). The two *Hymenelia* species, which were investigated in this study, occur quite frequently in the alpine habitat on steep or nearly vertical slopes (Türk and Wunder, 1999). Their distribution is restricted to nearly pure calcareous rocks, mainly in upper montane to alpine environments (Eigler, 1969). In Great Britain, *Hymenelia prevostii* was found to occur on more or less horizontal surfaces, including flat tombstones, often in slightly sheltered or moist situations (Purvis et al., 1992). *Hymenelia prevostii* occurs throughout Great Britain, in NW Ireland, Scandinavia (Purvis et al., 1992; Santesson, 1993), Estonia (Randlane et al., 2006), different regions of the Russian Arctic (Komarkova, 2006), and in the United States (Esslinger, 2006). *Hymenelia coerulea* has a more restricted distribution, occurring in the alpine regions of Germany, Austria, and Switzerland (Wirth, 1995; Türk and Wunder, 1999), including records from Romania (Feurerer, 2006), Slovenia (Suppan et al., 2000), and northern Spain (Renobales and Barreno, 1989).

The lichen samples were collected in an alpine environment characterized by harsh climatic conditions such as high light intensities and strong winds that cause polishing by sand and ice crystals. The endolithic growth form has been interpreted as an adaptation towards extreme abiotic conditions, since it is characteristic for a variety of species within such habitats (Hertel, 1998). The lichens live 1 to 5 mm within the substrate (Pohl and Schneider, 2002) and are only recognized by the slightly different color of the rock surface and by their fruiting bodies.

The special characteristics of different growth forms of lichens that allow them to cope with their habitat conditions have been demonstrated in a multitude of measurements and experiments (e.g. Kappen and Friedmann, 1983; Lange, 1965; Lange et al., 1970; Lange and Kappen, 1972; Lange et al., 1993a, 1997; Moser and Nash III, 1978; Nash et al., 1982). To date little is known about the photosynthetic properties of endolithic lichens. Wessels and Kappen (1993, 1994) measured the photosynthetic properties of endo- and epilithic lichens on sandstone at two different sites in South Africa and correlated them with the local microclimatic conditions. They observed that the lichens were particularly well-adapted to the extremely varying conditions under which they occurred. In arid and semi-arid regions, water was observed to be the key environmental factor limiting photosynthesis (Wessels and Kappen, 1994; Winkler and Kappen, 1997). In contrast to the aforementioned, the photosynthetic properties of endolithic sandstone lichens in the cold desert of Antarctica are mainly limited by low temperatures (Kappen and Friedmann, 1983). Assessment of photosynthetic properties of limestone-inhabiting lichens by gas exchange measurements was considered problematic for a long time, since reactions of the limestone substrate were thought to influence study results (Lange et al., 1970). However, Tretiach and Geletti (1997) excluded an effect of the substrate on the measurements of photosynthetic characteristics of limestone-inhabiting endolithic lichens at the Trieste Karst Plateau, Italy.

Although fog and dew are frequent events in alpine mountain regions, no published data exist on the ability of endolithic lichens to utilize water vapor to gain net photosynthesis (NP). The main focus of this study, therefore, was to examine the ecophysiological role of different water sources for lichens living inside limestone.

In this study, the photosynthetic reaction of two common species of endolithic lichens to the abiotic parameters water, air humidity, light, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was measured under controlled laboratory conditions. On the basis of detailed macroclimatic data from the Untersberg, the results were analyzed and interpreted.

## Material and Collection Site

The two crustose endolithic lichen species *Hymenelia coerulea* (DC.) Massal. and *Hymenelia prevostii* (Duby) Krmpl. were collected in the eastern alpine mountains, at the Untersberg (47°43'N, 13°03'E), south of the Austrian city Salzburg. The Untersberg consists of Dachsteinkalk (limestone) and has two summits, the Berchtesgadener Hochthron (1972 m) and the Salzburger Hochthron (1853 m). Samples of about 5–10 cm diameter and 2–5 cm thick were collected with a hammer and chisel at a steep, westward-facing outcrop only a few meters below the Salzburger Hochthron (Fig. 1a). All samples were gathered simultaneously in August, so the samples would not reflect different acclimation stages (Lange and Green, 2005).

The climate of the Untersberg is characterized by an oceanic influence with cold and humid weather throughout the year (Fig. 1b). The average annual precipitation of 1872 mm is distributed relatively evenly throughout the year. The average annual temperature of 3.3 °C is characteristic for alpine environments. From November to April, the mean temperature is below 0 °C, and only on 66 days during the summer an average daytime temperature above 10 °C is observed. Data on the macroclimatic meteorological parameters of air temperature, precipitation, air humidity, global radiation, and wind velocity and direction, measured from 1988 to 1997 on a bi-hourly basis, were supplied by the Department of Environmental Protection of the Federal State Government Salzburg, and by the Austrian Federal Office, Vienna.

To calculate the theoretical time span per month during which the organisms may be activated by water vapor, we summed up the time when the air humidity was above 90% and was not accompanied by rain with a global radiation above 3 J cm<sup>-2</sup>. The time span after a rain event, during which the thalli were still wet, could not be taken into account since there were no data available.


*H. coerulea* is characterized by its bluish to bluish-gray thallus, which in some areas remains almost completely below the surface of the substrate. The mostly rimless apothecia are nonpruinose, small (0.2–0.4 mm), and are often situated on small elevations within the limestone. *H. prevostii* has an oval, crustose, whitish-gray thallus. Brownish-pink apothecia are sunk into the thallus, separated from it by a narrow crack. Along the growth zone of this species, the rock surface is marked by a small depression, a feature that may indicate a biological weathering process caused by this species (Fig. 1c). The photobiont of both lichen species was identified as the green algal species *Trebouxia irregularis* Hildreth and Ahmadjian (T. Friedl, personal communication) on the basis of morphological criteria (Ettl and Gärtner, 1995).

## Methods

### STORAGE AND PREPARATION OF THE LICHEN SAMPLES

After transport, the dry samples were stored in a freezer at –25 °C. Five days before they were used the samples were





**FIGURE 1.** (a) Collecting site of the investigated organisms. A steep, westward-facing limestone outcrop only a few meters below the Salzburger Hochthron. (b) The climate on the Untersberg is characterized by an oceanic influence, with cold and humid weather throughout the year. (c) In the area where *Hymenelia prevostii* grows, the rock surface is marked by a small depression, a feature that may indicate a biological weathering process caused by this species.

acclimated in a small transparent chamber at 17 °C with light of approximately  $60 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  photon flux density (PFD) and a light-dark regime of 14:10 hours. With hammer and chisel, the samples were reduced to pieces small enough to fit in cuvette baskets (about 3 cm diameter, 0.5–1 cm high), containing only a single lichen species. Once a day the samples were sprayed with aqua dest to activate their metabolism.

On the day of the experiment, all breakage zones of the samples were covered with CO<sub>2</sub> inert terostat (Teroson, Heidelberg) to avoid simplified CO<sub>2</sub> release along the broken thallus zone. The samples were fixed in small stainless steel wire baskets and adapted to the desired temperature. They were submersed in distilled water for 3 hours, thus ensuring that they were fully saturated with water. Prior to the measurements, water droplets on the wire basket were carefully removed.

#### GAS EXCHANGE MEASUREMENTS

CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange measurements were conducted under controlled laboratory conditions in a minicuvette system (Walz Company, Effeltrich, Germany).

To test possible influences of the limestone substratum itself (i.e., release of CO<sub>2</sub>), rock samples with and without lichens were autoclaved and then measured in pilot tests at 17 °C in dry and wet stages. Additionally, one non-autoclaved rock sample that was formerly not exposed to the rock surface but taken from the inner part of the rock was measured in the same way as the lichen samples (at temperatures of 2, 17, and 27 °C, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 250, 350, and 800 ppm).

The influence of water, light, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> on the NP and dark respiration (DR) of the lichens was determined in two (*H. coerulea*) and three (*H. prevostii*) replications. Measurements were started after sample and cuvette had reached the same temperature. The light dependency was measured with fully watered samples that were exposed to light cycles between 0 and  $1300 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (*H. coerulea*) and 0 and  $1500 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (*H. prevostii*). The light curves had to be repeated for a full desiccation cycle, since it was not possible to keep the thalli at a constant water status for a long time. One light cycle took about 35 minutes, and after 3.5–4 hours the samples had lost about 100 mg (*H. coerulea*) and 140 mg (*H. prevostii*) of water and reached constant weight again.

The influence of water on the gas exchange of the samples was measured at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> content, under optimal light regime (800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*H. coerulea*], and 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*H. prevostii*]), and at 17 °C in order to have water as the only limiting factor. Again, full desiccation cycles were conducted. Additionally, the lichen species ( $n = 1$ ) were tested for their capability to utilize water vapor for NP at two different air humidities ( $\text{rH} = 80$  and 90%). They were dried over silicagel for 26 hours and then measured at 17 °C and the requested air humidity. In an automated experiment over 48 hours with a light-dark cycle of 20:100 minutes, a measuring point was taken every 5 minutes. Besides the lichen samples, a bare rock sample without lichens was autoclaved and then measured in the same experimental design over 22 hours. During the experiment, special care was taken to avoid condensation of water at the lichen surface or the translucent cover of the cuvette. This was also controlled by temperature probes measuring the sample surface and the air within the cuvette at an accuracy of 0.1 °C. The samples were weighed every 12 hours. In order to study the influence of temperature on the lichen samples, the experiments were carried out in the same manner as those with respect to light and water but at temperature steps of 5 °C between 2 and 27 °C. The CO<sub>2</sub> dependency of the lichens was measured under optimal light regime (see above) and at 17 °C. Desiccation curves were conducted at 250, 350, 500 ppm (both species), and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> (only *H. prevostii*).

CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange was related to the surface area and the chlorophyll content of the samples. The surface area was measured with an area meter (LI-3100; LICOR, Lincoln, Nebraska). For chlorophyll determination, the samples were reduced to small pieces with hammer and chisel and then extracted two times with di-methyl-sulfoxide (DMSO) at 60 °C for 90 minutes. The chlorophyll<sub>a+b</sub> content was determined and calculated according to Ronen and Galun (1984).

The water content (WC) of the thalli could not be determined for the endolithic species. Therefore, the WC was expressed as millimeters of rainfall equivalent, calculated from the WC per surface area of the sample.


## Results

The chlorophyll content revealed large differences between the species. The samples of *H. prevostii* contained 322.4 mg Chl  $\text{m}^{-2}$  (std dev.: 39.4 mg Chl  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ,  $n = 3$ ), whereas for *H. coerulea*, only 141.7 mg Chl  $\text{m}^{-2}$  were determined (std dev.: 2.2 mg Chl  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ,  $n = 2$ ).

In pilot tests, an influence of the calcareous substrate on the gas exchange measurements was excluded. CO<sub>2</sub> uptake or release was not found for wet and dry sterilized samples or for the non-sterilized rock sample.

### LIGHT

At optimal thallus WC, both lichen species reached gas exchange values of similar magnitude at saturating light intensities [mean values: *H. prevostii*, 10.3 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 3.3  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  with respect to surface area; *H. coerulea*, 8.7 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.2  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  with respect to surface area (Fig. 2)]. However, *H. prevostii* reached the light compensation point earlier (75  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  vs. 150  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and was saturated (90% of maximum NP) at higher light intensities than *H. coerulea* (1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  vs. 800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ).



**FIGURE 2.** Net photosynthesis (NP) related to chlorophyll content of *Hymenelia prevostii* (a) and *H. coerulea* (b) as function of light intensity. The curves were acquired at optimal water content (WC), ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, and 17 °C. For *H. prevostii*, means and standard deviations of three thalli are shown. For *H. coerulea*, the representative curves of one specimen are presented. Curves of the second thallus have the same characteristics and similar values. PFD = photon flux density.

### WATER


Both species were suprasaturated at high water contents and showed a clear depression in CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Fig. 3). The range of WC that could be used for positive NP was small for both species and smaller for *H. coerulea* (0.1 mm vs. 0.2 mm rainfall equivalent). *H. coerulea* reactivated NP at 0.05 mm H<sub>2</sub>O, whereas *H. prevostii* started NP at 0.2 mm H<sub>2</sub>O. The optimum WC was by far higher for *H. prevostii* (0.26 mm vs. 0.07 mm).

### ACTIVATION BY AIR HUMIDITY ALONE

In a pilot test, a reaction of bare rock to exposure of 90% air humidity over 22 hours was excluded.

When exposed to 80% air humidity, first respiration rates of the initially dry lichens could be measured after 30 minutes. The respiration rates then remained constant [*H. prevostii*, -0.4 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; *H. coerulea*, -1 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] and no positive NP rates were achieved. However, when exposed to an air humidity of 90%, the lichens reached positive NP rates. After 4 hours, *H. coerulea* reached the compensation point (NP equals DR) and after 10 hours NP rates stabilized around 0.5 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4b). *H. prevostii* reached the





**FIGURE 3.** Net photosynthesis (NP) and dark respiration (DR) of *Hymenelia prevostii* (a) and *H. coerulea* (b) as a function of water content (representative curves of one specimen). Measurements were conducted at optimal temperature (*H. prevostii*: 22 °C; *H. coerulea*: 17 °C), ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and saturating light intensity (*H. prevostii*: 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; *H. coerulea*: 800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ).


compensation point already by 1 hour and an hour later it gained 0.8 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4a). After the 48-hour experiment, the samples of both species had a load of 12 mg of water. An uncolonized rock sample took up 5 mg of water during a 22-hour experiment. At no time during the experiment was the temperature of the sample lower than that of the surrounding air, so that condensation at the sample surface was avoided at all times.

#### TEMPERATURE

The mean optimum temperature for three replicates was found at 22 °C for *H. prevostii* and for two replicates at 17 °C for *H. coerulea* (Fig. 5). At higher temperatures, *H. coerulea* especially showed strongly increased respiration rates, which caused a clear decline of the NP values. It is striking that at 2 °C both organisms still achieve about one-third of their maximum NP rates.

#### CO<sub>2</sub>

An elevated CO<sub>2</sub> content of 500 and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> had no positive effect on the NP of the endolithic lichens at their optimal water content (Fig. 6). At 500 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, the maximum NP value of *H. coerulea* was clearly lower than at 350 ppm, since the DR was markedly higher. *H. prevostii* showed slightly lower maximum NP values at 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>. However, in a supersaturated stage, *H. prevostii* showed higher NP rates at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations compared to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Fig. 6).




**FIGURE 4.** Reactivation of net photosynthesis (NP) and dark respiration (DR) of dry samples of *Hymenelia prevostii* (a) and *H. coerulea* (b) at a relative air humidity of 90% (representative curve of one specimen). Measurements were conducted at 17 °C, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> and a photon flux density of 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (*H. prevostii*) and 800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (*H. coerulea*).

#### Discussion

The two endolithic lichen species showed characteristic physiological reactions to variations of abiotic factors such as light, water, temperature, CO<sub>2</sub>, and air humidity. Their maximum NP rates accorded well with those measured for endolithic lichens of South Africa [*Lecidea confluenta* Müll. Arg., *Lecidea* aff. *sarcogynoides* Körb., *Lithoglyphia aggregata* Brusse, *Sarcogyne* cf. *austroriparica* (Zahlbr.) H. Magn.: 1.14–2.47  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; Winkler and Kappen, 1997]. The rates of *H. coerulea* (1.2  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) were also in the same range, whereas those of *H. prevostii* (3.3  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) were markedly higher than those found by Tretiach (1995) for endolithic lichens of the Trieste Karst Plateau. In Tretiach's (1995) experiments, lichens with both green algal and cyanobacterial partners [*Acrocordia conoidea* (Fr.) Körber, *Petractis clausa* (Hoffm.) Krempelh., *Rinodina immersa* (Körber) Zahlbr., *Verrucaria marmorea* (Scop.) Arnold, and *Verrucaria* sp.] only gained maximum NP rates between 0.2 and 1.5  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Kappen and Friedmann (1983) also measured far lower NP rates on endolithic lichens in the dry valleys of Antarctica [*Buellia* sp. and an unidentified sample: 0.42–1.15 nmol CO<sub>2</sub> (mg Chl)<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>].


The maximum NP values related to chlorophyll content of the lichens were relatively similar for the two species studied. Related to the surface area, however, the NP values for *H. prevostii* were about twice as high as those measured for *H. coerulea*. This phenomenon largely results from morphological differences between the two species, since *H. coerulea* contains approximately half the amount of chlorophyll<sub>a+b</sub> per surface area compared to *H. prevostii*.



**FIGURE 5.** Net photosynthesis (NP) and dark respiration (DR) of *Hymenelia prevostii* (a) and *H. coerulea* (b) as a function of temperature. Measurements were conducted at optimal water content and saturating light intensity (*H. prevostii*: 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; *H. coerulea*: 800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The curves depict means and standard deviations of maximum NP values of two (*H. coerulea*), and three (*H. prevostii*) thalli.

Both species needed higher light intensities to reach compensation and saturation points of NP compared with epi- and endolithic lichens from the Trieste limestone plateau, as measured by Tretiach (1995), but they were comparable to those of endolithic lichens in South Africa (Winkler and Kappen, 1997). The relatively high light compensation points and saturation values are most probably due to the endolithic growth, where light penetration to the photobiont is drastically reduced by the layer above it that is formed by rock particles and fungal hyphae (Nienow and Friedmann, 1993; Köhl et al., 1994). Endolithic growth provides light protection in the high alpine mountains and thus can be seen as one mode of adaptation to high light environments. The lichens do not have to invest in a dense upper cortical layer.


Concerning water relations and NP, it was not possible to determine the lower water content related to the compensation point of NP, since both species took up water vapor from the air within the cuvette. Net photosynthesis was clearly depressed at excess water. This is due to decreased diffusion rates of  $\text{CO}_2$  (10,000-fold lower in water than in air), which leads to a lack of  $\text{CO}_2$  when water films spread over the upper surface of lichen thalli (Golubic et al., 1979; Snelgar et al., 1981; Cowan et al., 1992; Badger and Price, 1992). This phenomenon has been shown in a study on lichens of a temperate rain forest in New Zealand (Lange et al., 1993a), where the lichens were classified into four response types: type A, no depression at supraoptimal WC; type B, small depression; type C, clear depression and  $\text{CO}_2$  release also in the light; type D, clear depression and constant low photosynthesis



**FIGURE 6.** Net photosynthesis (NP) and dark respiration (DR) of *Hymenelia prevostii* (a) and *H. coerulea* (b) as a function of water content measured at various  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations (representative curves of one specimen). Measurements were conducted at 17 °C and saturating light intensity (*H. prevostii*: 1000  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ; *H. coerulea*: 800  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ).

values. Both species examined here can be related to type D. A reduction of NP of *H. coerulea* and *H. prevostii* was already observed at a WC, where epilithic species like *Rhizocarpon umbilicatum* from the same habitat did not show a reaction at all (Scherr, 2000). We therefore conclude that the two endolithic species are best adapted to activation by dewfall or fog. The results are consistent with those presented by Wessels and Wessels (1995), who found that sandstone humidity was highly correlated with air humidity, which allowed the endolithic lichen *Lecidea* aff. *sarcogynoides* to thrive in that habitat.

The effects of different  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations are closely related to the WC of the samples. At low water contents, maximal NP rates increased with higher  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations in the air. This means that even at very low thallus water contents (0.08 mm water column for *H. coerulea*) the diffusion resistance for  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake is already relevant. The increase of  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations, however, did not result in higher rates of NP at optimal water contents for *H. coerulea* and gave only a slight increase of NP for *H. prevostii*, a result also found by Lange et al. (1999) for *Fulgensia fulgens*. These authors additionally found that 4500 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$  were necessary to compensate for the depression of NP during water suprasaturation. Tretiach and Pecchiari (1995) found that at 1300 ppm  $\text{CO}_2$  the NP of the endolithic lichen *Petractis clausa* was not yet saturated. Since the NP rates of the two *Hymenelia* species could not be increased or could be only slightly increased by higher  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations, the carboxylation reaction seems to be nearly saturated at ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration. This suggests the existence of morphological structures or physiological mechanisms that cause an accumulation of  $\text{CO}_2$  within the photobiont. The



**FIGURE 7. Potential water vapor uptake during daytime. Number of hours per month with air humidity above 90% (without precipitation) and light intensity above  $3 \text{ J cm}^{-2}$  (calculated from macroclimatic meteorological data measured on the Untersberg on a bi-hourly basis between 1988 and 1997).**

presence of a  $\text{CO}_2$ -concentrating mechanism (CCM) was proven for the photobionts of both species (Scherr, 2000).

Probably the most astonishing result is the short time span needed by both lichens to reach maximum DR rates after exposing dry thalli to high air humidity. This is in contrast to all other findings (e.g. Lange and Kilian, 1985; Lange et al., 1993b). However, we do not have a sound explanation for this result. With the uncolonized rock sample, we excluded  $\text{CO}_2$  outgassing from the rock during uptake of water. By drying the air-dry samples over silica gel for 26 hours prior to the experiment, humidity of the rock was lower than 5%. Finally, condensation on the rock surface was avoided at all times by maintaining higher temperatures of the rock compared to the cuvette temperature. After 1 hour *H. prevostii*, and *H. coerulea* after 4 hours had gained enough water from the air to reach positive NP rates at 90% rH. It is striking that *H. prevostii* reached the compensation point after a shorter time span, although it needed larger amounts of water for activation. This could probably be explained by differences in thallus morphology and deserves further investigation. The capability to utilize water vapor alone is common and typical for most green algal lichens (Lange and Kilian, 1985; Lange et al., 1986, 1991; Larson et al., 1985), but this phenomenon is here shown for the first time for endolithic lichens. Fruticose species growing under arid climatic conditions, such as *Ramalina maciformis* in the Negev desert (Lange et al., 1970) and *Ramalina capitata* from a rain-protected habitat in central Spain (Pintado and Sancho, 2002), reached  $\text{CO}_2$ -fixation rates at optimal thallus WC similar to those with high air humidity as the only water supply. Lichens with cyanobacteria as photobiont, however, almost exclusively need liquid water to be activated (Lange et al., 1993b; Büdel and Lange, 1991; Lange et al., 1994).

According to the local climate records for the Untersberg, periods with high air humidity occur regularly throughout the year. Monthly means during the year fluctuate between 60 and 80%, with higher values being reached during the summer months. The time span during which the lichens theoretically could be activated by high air humidity is largest from April to September, since the air carries enough humidity during that time (Fig. 7). However, the values given should only be seen as crude approximations. Field measurements are necessary for improvement.

Both lichen species were able to perform 90% of optimal NP over a wide temperature range. Their temperature optima are

within the range of values recorded for endolithic lichens in South Africa (Winkler and Kappen, 1997). Both species are quite well-adapted to low temperatures and still reached about one-third of their maximum NP rates at  $2^\circ\text{C}$ . This is typical for lichens from the alpine habitat (Lange, 1965).

The ability to gain positive NP rates over a wide temperature range makes them well-adapted to their habitat on steep rock surfaces in areas where temperature changes occur quickly and drastically, depending on the influence of sun, wind, rain, and ice. Lange et al. (2001) showed in their review that laboratory results concerning the reactivation of green algal lichens by high air humidity can be used to successfully predict their behavior in their natural habitat. With their capacity to become activated by high air humidity alone, the two *Hymenelia* species are perfectly adapted to the damp weather that often occurs in their habitat. Their high sensitivity to a supraoptimal WC probably only plays a minor role in their natural habitat, since superficial water immediately runs off the steeply exposed rock surface. Along with this, their CCM enables an efficient  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation, also at low  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations within the thallus.

## Acknowledgments

Prof. emerit. Dr. J. Schneider and Dr. W. Pohl are thanked for their good cooperation, support in the field, and financial support of the field trips to Salzburg. Prof. Dr. T. Friedl is acknowledged for help in the identification of the algal species. A. Kranabetter from the Federal State Government Salzburg, Department of Environmental Protection, and W. Spangl from the Austrian Federal Environmental Office, Vienna, are thanked for provision of the climate data. Prof. Dr. R. Türk and Prof. Dr. D. C. J. Wessels are acknowledged for the helpful thematic assistance. Prof. Dr. T. G. A. Green and one unknown referee are thanked for valuable suggestions. The German Research Foundation (DFG) is thanked for financial support. We herewith declare that these experiments comply with the current laws of Germany.

## References Cited

- Bachmann, E., 1904: Die Beziehungen der Kiesel Flechten zu ihrem Substrat. *Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft*, 22: 101–104.
- Bachmann, E., 1913: Der Thallus der Kalkflechten. II. Flechten mit Chroolepusgonidien. *Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft*, 31: 3–12.
- Bachmann, E., 1916: Kalklösende Algen. *Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft*, 33: 45–57.
- Badger, M. R., and Price, G. D., 1992: The  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrating mechanism in cyanobacteria and microalgae. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 84: 606–615.
- Büdel, B., 1999: Ecology and diversity of rock-inhabiting cyanobacteria in tropical regions. *European Journal of Phycology*, 34: 361–370.
- Büdel, B., and Lange, O. L., 1991: Water status of green and blue-green phycobionts in lichen thalli after hydration by water vapor uptake: do they become turgid? *Botanica Acta*, 104: 361–366.
- Buschardt, A., 1979: Zur Flechtenflora der inneralpinen Trockentäler. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica*, 10: 1–419.
- Cowan, I. R., Lange, O. L., and Green, T. G. A., 1992: Carbon-dioxide exchange in lichens: determination of transport and carboxylation characteristics. *Planta*, 187: 282–294.
- Danin, A., and Garty, J., 1983: Distribution of cyanobacteria and lichens on hillsides of the Negev Highlands and their impact on biogenic weathering. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*, 27(4): 423–444.



- Danin, A., Gerson, R., and Garty, J., 1983: Weathering patterns on hard limestone and dolomite by endolithic lichens and cyanobacteria: supporting evidence for eolian contribution to Terra Rossa soil. *Soil Science*, 136(4): 213–217.
- Degelius, G., 1962: Über Verwitterung von Kalk- und Dolomitgestein durch Algen und Flechten. In Hedvall, J. A. (ed.), *Chemie im Dienst der Archäologie, Bautechnik, Denkmalpflege*. Lund: Hakam Ohlssons, 156–162.
- Doppelbaur, H. W., 1959: Studien zur Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte einiger endolithischen pyrenocarpen Flechten. *Planta*, 53: 246–292.
- Eigler, G., 1969: Studien zur Gliederung der Flechtengattung *Lecanora*. *Dissertationes Botanicae*, 4: 1–195.
- Esslinger, T. L., 2006: A cumulative checklist for the lichen-forming, lichenicolous, and allied fungi of the continental United States and Canada (<http://www.ndsu.nodak.edu/instruct/esslinge/chcklst/chcklst7.htm>) Fargo, North Dakota: North Dakota State University. First posted 1 December 1997. Most recent update 10 April 2006.
- Ettl, H., and Gärtner, G., 1995: *Syllabus der Boden-, Luft- und Flechtenalgen*. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer Verlag, 721 pp.
- Feurerer, T. (ed.), 2006: Checklists of lichens and lichenicolous fungi. Version 1, June 2006 (<http://www.checklists.de>). Last accessed: March 2007.
- Friedmann, E. I., 1980: Endolithic microbial life in hot and cold deserts. *Origins of Life*, 10: 223–235.
- Fry, E. J., 1924: A suggested explanation of the mechanical action of lithophytic lichens on rocks (shale). *Annals of Botany*, 38: 175–194.
- Fry, E. J., 1927: The mechanical action of crustaceous lichens on substrata of shale, schist, gneiss, limestone, and obsidian. *Annals of Botany*, 41: 437–460.
- Fünfstück, M., 1895: Die Fettabscheidungen der Kalkflechten. *Beiträge zur wissenschaftlichen Botanik*, 1(1): 157–220.
- Golubic, S., Brent, G., and Le Campion, T., 1970: Scanning electron microscopy of endolithic algae and fungi using a multipurpose casting-embedding technique. *Lethaia*, 3: 203–209.
- Golubic, S., Krumbein, W., and Schneider, J., 1979: The carbon cycle. In Trudinger, P. A., and Swaine, D. J. (eds.), *Studies in environmental science 3: biogeochemical cycling of mineral-forming elements*. Amsterdam, Oxford, New York: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, 29–45.
- Golubic, S., Friedmann, E. I., and Schneider, J., 1981: The lithobiontic ecological niche, with special reference to microorganisms. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, 51: 475–478.
- Hertel, H., 1998: Flechten im Hochgebirge. In Jung, W. (ed.), *Naturerlebnis Alpen*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, 33–48.
- Hofmann, P., Wittmann, H., Türk, R., and Breuss, O., 1993: Die Flechten und Flechtenparasiten von Osttirol (Österreich)—Ein erster Überblick. *Herzogia*, 9: 837–879.
- Hoppert, M., Flies, C., Pohl, W., Günzl, B., and Schneider, J., 2004: Colonization strategies of lithobiontic microorganisms on carbonate rocks. *Environmental Geology*, 46: 421–428.
- Kappen, L., and Friedmann, E. I., 1983: Ecophysiology of lichens in the dry valleys of southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. II. CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange in cryptoendolithic lichens. *Polar Biology*, 1: 227–232.
- Komarkova, W. A., 2006: Lichens of the Russian Arctic ([http://www.binran.spb.ru/infosys/ra\\_lich/](http://www.binran.spb.ru/infosys/ra_lich/)). Last accessed: March 2007.
- Kühl, M., Lassen, C., and Jørgensen, B. B., 1994: Light penetration and light intensity in sandy marine sediments measured with irradiance and scalar irradiance fiber-optic microprobes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 105: 139–148.
- Kushnir, E., and Galun, M., 1977: The fungus-alga association in endolithic lichens. *Lichenologist*, 9: 123–130.
- Lange, O. L., 1965: Der CO<sub>2</sub>-Gaswechsel von Flechten bei tiefen Temperaturen. *Planta*, 64: 1–19.
- Lange, O. L., and Green, T. G. A., 2005: Lichens show that fungi can acclimate their respiration to seasonal changes in temperature. *Oecologia*, 142: 11–19.
- Lange, O. L., and Kappen, L., 1972: Photosynthesis of lichens from Antarctica. *Antarctic Research Studies*, 20: 83–95.
- Lange, O. L., and Kilian, E., 1985: Reaktivierung der Photosynthese trockener Flechten durch Wasserdampfaufnahme aus dem Luftraum: Artspezifisch unterschiedliches Verhalten. *Flora*, 176: 7–23.
- Lange, O. L., Schulze, E. D., and Koch, W., 1970: Experimentell-ökologische Untersuchungen an Flechten der Negev-Wüste. III. CO<sub>2</sub>-Gaswechsel und Wasserhaushalt von Krusten- und Blattflechten am natürlichen Standort während der sommerlichen Trockenperiode. *Flora*, 159: 525–538.
- Lange, O. L., Kilian, E., and Ziegler, H., 1986: Water vapor uptake and photosynthesis of lichens: performance differences in species with green and blue-green algae as phycobionts. *Oecologia*, 71: 104–110.
- Lange, O. L., Meyer, A., Ullmann, I., and Zellner, H., 1991: Mikroklima, Wassergehalt und Photosynthese von Flechten in der küstennahen Nebelzone der Namib-Wüste: Messungen während der herbstlichen Witterungsperiode. *Flora*, 185: 233–266.
- Lange, O. L., Büdel, B., Heber, U., Meyer, A., Zellner, H., and Green, T. G. A., 1993a: Temperate rainforest lichens in New Zealand: high thallus water content can severely limit photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. *Oecologia*, 95: 303–313.
- Lange, O. L., Büdel, B., Meyer, A., and Kilian, E., 1993b: Further evidence that activation of net photosynthesis by dry cyanobacterial lichens requires liquid water. *Lichenologist*, 25(2): 175–189.
- Lange, O. L., Meyer, A., and Büdel, B., 1994: Net photosynthesis activation of a desiccated cyanobacterium without liquid water in high air humidity alone. Experiments with *Microcoleus sociatus* isolated from a desert soil crust. *Functional Ecology*, 8: 52–57.
- Lange, O. L., Belnap, J., Reichenberger, H., and Meyer, A., 1997: Photosynthesis of green algal soil crust lichens from arid lands in southern Utah, USA: role of water content on light and temperature responses of CO<sub>2</sub>-exchange. *Flora*, 192: 1–15.
- Lange, O. L., Green, T. G. A., and Reichenberger, H., 1999: The response of lichen photosynthesis to external CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and its interaction with thallus water status. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 154: 157–166.
- Lange, O. L., Green, T. G. A., and Heber, U., 2001: Hydration-dependent photosynthetic production of lichens: what do laboratory studies tell us about field performance? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 52(363): 2033–2042.
- Larson, D. W., Matthes-Sears, U., and Nash III, T. H., 1985: The ecology of *Ramalina menziesii*. I. Geographical variation in form. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 63: 2062–2068.
- Lindsay, W. L., 1856: *A popular history of British Lichens*. London: Lovell-Reeve, 378 pp.
- Moser, T. J., and Nash III, T. H., 1978: Photosynthetic patterns of *Cetraria cucullata* (Bell.) Ach. at Anaktuvuk Pass, Alaska. *Oecologia*, 34: 37–43.
- Nash III, T. H., Lange, O. L., and Kappen, L., 1982: Photosynthetic patterns of Sonoran Desert lichens II. Multi-variate laboratory analysis. *Flora*, 172: 419–426.
- Nienow, J. A., and Friedmann, E. I., 1993: Terrestrial lithophytic (rock) communities. In Friedmann, E. I. (ed.), *Antarctic Microbiology*. New York: Wiley-Liss Inc., 343–412.
- Pintado, A., and Sancho, L., 2002: Ecological significance of net photosynthesis activation by water vapour uptake in *Ramalina capitata* from rain-protected habitats in central Spain. *Lichenologist*, 34(5): 403–413.
- Poelt, J., and Mayrhofer, H., 1985: Die Flechtenflora der Mödlinger Klause einst und jetzt (Niederösterreich). *Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft*, 98: 385–392.

- Poelt, J., and Türk, R., 1984: Die Flechten des Lungau—Ein erstes Verzeichnis. *Herzogia*, 6: 419–469.
- Pohl, W., and Schneider, J., 2002: Impact of endolithic biofilms on carbonate rock surfaces. In Siegesmund, S., Weiss, T., and Vollbrecht, A. (eds.), *Natural Stone, Weathering Phenomena, Conservation Strategies and Case Studies*. London: Geological Society Special Publications 205, 177–194.
- Purvis, O. W., Coppins, B. J., Hawksworth, D. L., James, P. W., and Moore, D. M. (eds.), 1992: *The Lichen Flora of Great Britain and Ireland*. London: Natural History Museum Publications in association with the British Lichen Society.
- Randlane, T., Saag, A., and Suija, A., 2006. Lichenized, lichenicolous and allied fungi of Estonia (<http://www.ut.ee/lichens/fce.html>). Last update: 24 April 2006.
- Renobales, G., and Barreno, E., 1989: Nuevas aportaciones a la flora líquénica calcícola del país vasco y Cantabria (Norte de España). *Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid*, 45(2): 395–406.
- Ronen, R., and Galun, M., 1984: Pigment extraction from lichens with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and estimation of chlorophyll degradation. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 24(3): 239–245.
- Santesson, R., 1993: *The Lichens of Sweden and Norway*. Stockholm, Uppsala: Swedish Museum of Natural History.
- Scherr, C., 2000: *Ökophysiologische Untersuchungen alpiner Gesteinsflechten und ihrer Photobionten*. Diploma thesis. Kaiserslautern: Universität Kaiserslautern, 1–128.
- Snelgar, W. P., Green, T. G. A., and Beltz, C. K., 1981: Carbon dioxide exchange in lichens: estimation of internal thallus CO<sub>2</sub> transport resistances. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 52: 417–422.
- Suppan, U., Prügger, J., and Mayrhofer, H., 2000: Catalogue of the lichenized and lichenicolous fungi of Slovenia. In *Bibliotheca Lichenologica*. Volume 76. Stuttgart: J. Cramer Verlag.
- Tretiach, M., 1995: Ecophysiology of calcicolous endolithic lichens: progress and problems. *Giornale Botanico Italiano*, 129(1): 159–184.
- Tretiach, M., and Geletti, A., 1997: CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of the endolithic lichen *Verrucaria baldensis* from karst habitats in northern Italy. *Oecologia*, 111: 515–522.
- Tretiach, M., and Pecchiari, M., 1995: Gas exchange rates and chlorophyll content of epi- and endolithic lichens from the Trieste Karst (NE Italy). *New Phytologist*, 130: 585–592.
- Türk, R., 1992: Beitrag zur Flechtenflora Kärntens II: Flechten in den Lienzer Dolomiten, den Karnischen und den Gailtaler Alpen. *Carinthia II*, 182: 693–707.
- Türk, R., and Breuss, O., 1994: Flechten aus Niederösterreich I. Steirisch-niederösterreichische Kalkalpen. *Verhandlungen der Zoologisch.-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Österreich*, 131: 79–96.
- Türk, R., and Wunder, H., 1999: Die Flechten des Nationalparks Berchtesgaden und angrenzender Gebiete. *Nationalpark Berchtesgaden Forschungsbericht*, 42: 1–131.
- Von Wittmann, H., Türk, R., and Breuss, O., 1989: Beitrag zur Flechtenflora Kärntens I: Flechten und Flechtenparasiten der Großfragant (Hohe Tauern, Österreich). *Carinthia II*, 179: 451–475.
- Weber, B., Scherr, C., Bicker, F., and Büdel, B., in prep: Anatomical characteristics of two *Hymenelia* species and their influence on the surrounding substrate.
- Wessels, D., and Kappen, L., 1993: Photosynthetic performance of rock-colonising lichens in the Mount Zebra National Park, South Africa. *Koedoe*, 36(1): 27–48.
- Wessels, D. C. J., and Kappen, L., 1994: Aspect, microclimate and photosynthetic activity of lichens in the Northern Transvaal and Karoo, South Africa. *Cryptogamic Botany*, 4: 242–253.
- Wessels, D. C. J., and Schoeman, P., 1988: Mechanisms and rate of weathering of Clarens sandstone by an endolithic lichen. *South African Journal of Science*, 84: 275–277.
- Wessels, D., and Wessels, L., 1995: Biogenic weathering and microclimate of Clarens sandstone in South Africa. *Cryptogamic Botany*, 5: 288–298.
- Winkler, J. B., and Kappen, L., 1997: Photosynthetic capacity of endolithic lichens from South Africa. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica*, 67: 165–181.
- Wirth, V., 1995: *Die Flechten Baden-Württembergs*. Second edition. Stuttgart: Ulmer Verlag.
- Zschacke, H., 1934: Epigloeaceae, Verrucariaceae und Dermato-carpaceae. In Rabenhorst, G. L. (ed.), *Kryptogamenflora Deutschland, Neunter Band: Die Flechten. I. Abt. / I. Teil*. Leipzig: Kummer.

Ms accepted September 2006