

## **Biological Flora of Coastal Dunes and Wetlands: *Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC.**

Authors: Lonard, Robert I., Judd, Frank W., and Stalter, Richard

Source: Journal of Coastal Research, 31(3) : 749-757

Published By: Coastal Education and Research Foundation

URL: <https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-14-00125.1>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



## REVIEW ARTICLES



www.cerf-jcr.org

# Biological Flora of Coastal Dunes and Wetlands: *Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC.

Robert I. Lonard<sup>†\*</sup>, Frank W. Judd<sup>†</sup>, and Richard Stalter<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Biology  
The University of Texas-Pan American  
Edinburg, TX 78539, U.S.A.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Biological Sciences  
St. John's University  
Queens, NY 11439, U.S.A.

### ABSTRACT

Lonard, R.I.; Judd, F.W., and Stalter, R., 2015. Biological flora of coastal dunes and wetlands: *Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 31(3), 749–757. Coconut Creek (Florida), ISSN 0749-0208.

*Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC. is a New World warm-temperate, subtropical, and tropical zone, perennial subshrub that is an important species in hypersaline coastal sites. Also known as sea ox-eye, it tolerates salinities ranging from less than 20 ppt to 130 ppt. It occurs in substrates low in organic matter and deficient in nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Nearly all reproduction is vegetative from an extensive rhizome system. Populations of this species recover quickly after coverage by wrack. Stands of *B. frutescens* often dominate the landward border of salt marshes.

**ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS:** *Sea ox-eye, morphology, geographical distribution, habitats, plant communities, reproduction, physiological ecology.*



www.JCRonline.org

### INTRODUCTION

*Borrichia*, in the family Asteraceae, is a warm-temperate, subtropical, and tropical genus that includes two species, *Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC. and *Borrichia arborescens* (L.) DC., one hybrid complex, *Borrichia* × *cubana* Britton and Blake, and one doubtful species *Borrichia peruviana* DC., known only from a vegetative type collection (Semple, 1978; Semple and Semple, 1977). *Borrichia frutescens* and *B. arborescens* are sympatric in south Florida and Bermuda, where they hybridize and produce *B. × cubana* (Semple and Semple, 1977).

*Borrichia frutescens* is morphologically variable, and it occurs throughout a broad salinity gradient, ranging from less than 20 ppt to 130 ppt (Richards *et al.*, 2004, 2010; Semple, 1978). *Borrichia frutescens* is often a dominant species in high marsh sites that are only infrequently inundated. This species uses limited resources for sexual reproduction (Cattell and Karl, 2004). Vegetative reproduction by rhizomes is the primary mechanism of the lateral spread of this species into hypersaline salt pans. Herein, we review the biology of this important coastal-zone species.

### TAXONOMY AND VARIATION

*Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC. is a member of the family Asteraceae (Compositae) and is included in the tribe Helian-

theae. The genus *Borrichia* was described by M. Adanson in 1763. Synonyms or rejected names for this species are *Buphthalmium incanum* Mill., *Diomedea bidentata* Cass. and *Borrichia frutescens* (L.) DC. var. *angustifolia* DC. Common names include sea ox-eye, ox-eye daisy, bush sea ox-eye, sea daisy, and bushy seaside tansy.

### Taxonomic Description

The following taxonomic description has been derived from Correll and Johnson, 1970; Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios, 2002; Everitt, Drawe, and Lonard, 2002; Gandhi and Thomas, 1989; Lehman, 2013; Lehman, O'Brien, and White, 2005; Lonard, personal observations; Radford, Ahles, and Bell, 1968; Richardson and King, 2011; and Semple, 2006.

### Seed Morphology

A single, nearly translucent seed about 2.0 mm long is borne in an indehiscent achene (cypsela).

### Seedling Morphology

Sea ox-eye seedlings produce several pairs of glabrate, juvenile leaves with entire margins. Toothed margins are produced on more-typical blades during leaf expansion (Semple, 1978).

### Root Morphology

Adventitious roots are formed at the nodes of the rhizomes.

### Shoot Morphology

*Borrichia frutescens* is a rhizomatous, somewhat succulent, canescent or glabrate subshrub, which forms extensive colonies. Stems are erect or occasionally decumbent, are

DOI: 10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-14-00125.1 received 21 June 2014; accepted in revision 13 August 2014; corrected proofs received 29 October 2014; published pre-print online 20 November 2014.

\*Corresponding author: rlonard@utpa.edu

©Coastal Education and Research Foundation, Inc. 2015



Figure 1. *Borrichia frutescens* on the margin of a salt marsh at Boca Chica State Park, Texas.

branched or unbranched, and are 0.1 to 1.5 m tall. Plant heights on Galveston Island, Texas, are usually less than 1.0 m tall. Petioles are united at their bases, up to 2 cm long, and often bearing lateral projections near the base of the blades. Leaves are simple, opposite, obovate to oblanceolate, obtuse, and apiculate. Blades are 2 to 10 cm long, 0.5 to 1.5 cm wide, and coriaceous. Epidermal surfaces are canescent. Blade margins are variable but are usually serrate-dentate or entire on the distal blades.

### Inflorescence

The inflorescence consists of globose, mostly solitary, radiate, heads (capitula) on peduncles 2 to 6 cm long. The heads are 2.5 to 3.5 cm wide and terminate the shoots. Involucres are hemispheric, about 5 mm high and 2.2 cm wide, and becoming firm with age. Phyllaries are imbricated in three to five series with the outer phyllaries somewhat herbaceous, oblong, and reflexed at maturity. The inner series is obovate, with a spine-tipped apex to 12 mm long and about 4.5 mm wide at the base. The receptacle is slightly convex and densely covered with chaff, similar to the inner phyllaries. Chaff scales are oblanceolate, 5 to 9 mm long, folded, partially enclose the achenes, and have a distal woody, orange-yellowish spine 1 to 3 mm long. Ray florets are 15 to 30, pistillate, fertile, 5 to 12 mm long, yellow or orange-tinged, and 3-toothed distally. Disc florets are bisexual, 30 to 75 per head; corolla is cylindrical, 5 to 6.5 mm long, yellow, and 5-toothed apically. The pappus is a dentate crown 0.5 to 1.0 mm long and is present on both ray and disc florets (Figure 1).

### Fruits

The achenes (cypselae) are dimorphic, glabrous, and black at maturity. Achenes of ray florets are three-angled and 2.5 to 3 mm long. Achenes of disc florets are four-angled, 3 to 5 mm long, and about 1 mm wide.

### Variability

*Borrichia frutescens* is a phenotypically variable species (Richards *et al.*, 2004, 2010; Semple, 1978). The degree of leaf serration and the size of the inflorescence vary greatly (Semple,

1978). This species occurs along a broad range of salinities, ranging from less than 20 ppt to greater than 130 ppt. Richards *et al.* (2004) stated that the edaphic factor of salinity defines 20 to 50% of variation in plant height in naturally occurring populations. However, Richards *et al.* (2010) reported that phenotypic plasticity, not adaptation to salinity levels, determines variation along a salinity gradient in coastal wetlands.

*Borrichia frutescens* and *B. arborescens* (L.) DC. are sympatric in South Florida and Bermuda where hybridization occurs (Semple and Semple, 1977). The hybrid swarm has been designated as *Borrichia*  $\times$  *cubana* Britton & Blake = *Borrichia cubana* Britton & Blake and is intermediate in leaf pubescence and in the morphology of spines on the phyllaries (Semple and Semple, 1977). The hybrids in south Florida are maintained by assortative mating and clonal reproduction (Cattell and Karl, 2004). Hybrids typically backcross with *B. frutescens*, and both species and  $F_1$  hybrids occur in disturbed sites (Cattell and Karl, 2004; Semple and Semple, 1977).

### Chromosome Number

*Borrichia frutescens* has a chromosome number of  $2n = 28$  or rarely  $2n = 42$  (Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios, 2002; Long and Lakela, 1971; Semple, 2006).

### GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

In the United States, *B. frutescens* is distributed along the Atlantic coastline from Maryland to Florida (Adams, 1963; Antlfinger, 1981; Blake, 1951; Cattell and Karl, 2004; Guo and Pennings, 2012; Harvill, 1965; Hill, 1986; Kelley, 2006; Long and Lakela, 1971; Luken, 2012; Radford, Ahles, and Bell, 1968; Semple, 1978, 2006; Semple and Semple, 1977; Smith, 1953; Stalter and Batson, 1973; Stalter and Lamont, 1990, 1993; Stalter *et al.*, 1999). Along the Gulf Coast of the United States, this species occurs from Florida to Texas (Alexander and Dunton, 2006; Anderson and Alexander, 1985; Correll and Johnston, 1970; Eleuterius, 1972; Heinsch *et al.*, 2004; Judd and Lonard, 2004; Lonard and Judd, 2002; Marquardt and Pennings, 2011; Proffitt *et al.*, 2005). In Texas, it occurs inland in the Rio Grande Delta and upstream along the Rio Grande about 200 km and has been reported in south-central Texas (Correll and Johnston, 1970; Judd and Lonard, 2002; Semple, 2006; Semple and Semple, 1977).

*Borrichia frutescens* occurs in coastal wetlands in Mexico, from Tamaulipas to Quintana Roo, and in inland, saline sites in San Luis Potosi and Coahuila (Correll and Johnston, 1970; Gómez-Pompa, 1973; Moreno-Casasola, 1988; Moreno-Casasola and Espejel, 1986; Sauer, 1967; Semple, 1978; Semple and Semple, 1977). Sea ox-eye has been introduced sparingly in Grand Bahamas, Cuba, and Bermuda (Semple, 1978, 2006; Semple and Semple, 1977; Thomas, 1993). Semple (1978) indicated that the occurrence of *B. frutescens* in Cuba and Grand Bahamas is documented by only a few voucher specimens. Recently, Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios (2002) reported the occurrence of sea ox-eye in a coastal site in southern Spain.

### RANGE OF HABITATS

*Borrichia frutescens* is an important species of coastal zone vegetation in a wide variety of habitats in the United States. It

is common in high salt marsh habitats that are flooded infrequently (Adams, 1963; Antlfinger, 1981, 1982; Antlfinger and Dunn, 1979, 1983; Cleary, Hosier, and Wells, 1979; Feagin and Wu, 2006; Guo and Pennings, 2012; Oosting, 1954; Stalter, 1968, 1993; Stalter and Lamont, 1990, 1993, 1997). Colonies in the high marsh are often separated by extensive areas of salt flats (Antlfinger and Dunn, 1979). In the high marsh, it also occurs in an ecotone between the low marsh, typically dominated by *Spartina alterniflora*, and upland sites dominated by a variety of shrubs and/or herbaceous species (Luken, 2012; Pennings and Moore, 2001; Richards, Pennings, and Donovan, 2005). Sea ox-eye occurs along estuaries and occasionally inland in south Texas and northern Mexico (Semple, 1978, 2006; Semple and Semple, 1977).

*Borrichia frutescens* is also an important species in the vegetation of brackish marshes (Eleuterius and McDaniel, 1978; Judd and Lonard, 2004; Lehman, O'Brien, and White, 2005; Lonard *et al.*, 2005; Radford, Ahles, and Bell, 1968; Semple, 2006; Stutzenbaker, 1999). Bradley and Dunn (1989) found this species in disturbed sites in salt marshes in Georgia.

On Padre Island, Texas, *B. frutescens* occurs in nearly all topographic facets, excluding the backshore and the primary dune complex (Judd, Lonard, and Sides, 1977). It occurs in depressions in the vegetated flats and on the margins of wind-tidal flats adjacent to the Laguna Madre (Judd, Lonard, and Sides, 1977; Negrete *et al.*, 1999; Nelson *et al.*, 2000). In the lower Rio Grande Delta, adjacent to the Laguna Madre, *B. frutescens* is found in resaca (abandoned oxbows of the Rio Grande) basins, on salt flats, and in disturbed saline sites, including roadsides (Judd and Lonard, 2002; Lonard, Richardson, and Richard, 2004).

In Mexico, sea ox-eye occurs in salt marshes in the lee of primary dune complexes, in moist depressions among secondary dune complexes, and on stabilized dunes about 60 m from the high tide mark (Castillo, Popma, and Moreno-Casasola, 1991; Espejel, 1986; Gómez-Pompa, 1973; Moreno-Casasola and Espejel, 1986; Sauer, 1967).

### Substrate Characteristics

*Borrichia frutescens* typically occurs in soils deficient in nitrogen, potassium, and phosphorous and in soils that are low in organic matter (Drawe *et al.*, 1981; Proffitt *et al.*, 2005). Most of the organic matter is in the upper 15 cm of the substrate (Hanson, 1983). Hanson (1983) reported annual nitrogen fixation rates as low as  $0.36 \text{ g N m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ . Substrates range from heavy clays to silty sands (Hanson, 1983; Lonard and Judd, 1981; Proffitt *et al.*, 2005; Stiling *et al.*, 1999; Stutzenbaker, 1999). Clay substrates in south Texas are often waterlogged (Johnston, 1952, 1955).

In Tamaulipas, Mexico, Sauer (1967) noted that sea ox-eye occurred on white sand, clay and shell, quartz sand and shell, and calcareous sand. Semple (2006) indicated that *B. frutescens* could occur on limestone and rocky shorelines 0 to 10 m above mean high tide.

Richards *et al.* (2010) found that the salinity in brackish and salt marsh habitats in Georgia ranged from 4 to 127 ppt. Antlfinger and Dunn (1979) noted that salinity in other high marshes where *B. frutescens* is a dominant species ranged from 20 to 50 ppt. In salt flats where growth is stunted, the salinity

ranges from 100 to 130 ppt (Antlfinger and Dunn, 1979). Stalter (1968) reported salinity values in the high salt marsh in South Carolina that ranged from 10 to 50 ppt. In lower areas of the high marsh, salinity ranged from 10 to 30 ppt (Stalter, 1968).

Judd, Lonard, and Sides (1977) found that 80% of the sand particle sizes ranged from 0.18 to 0.25 mm on the margins of the wind-tidal flats on South Padre Island, Texas. Depth to the water table is only 14 cm in isolated stands of *B. frutescens* (Judd, Lonard, and Sides, 1977).

Stalter (1968) found that the pH of salt marsh habitats in South Carolina ranged from 4.8 to 7.5 and 6.0 to 7.5 in the high marsh. Adams (1963) noted pH values ranging from 4.19 to 7.62 in high marshes in North Carolina. Drawe *et al.* (1981) reported pH values ranging from 7.7 to 8.4 on North Padre Island, Texas.

### Climatic Requirements

The natural geographical distribution of *B. frutescens* extends from 38°05' N latitude on the Maryland side of Assateague Island National Seashore (Virginia and Maryland), in the temperate zone to about 20° N latitude in Quintana Roo, Mexico, in the New World tropics (Sauer, 1967; Smith, 1953; Stalter and Lamont, 1990). *Borrichia frutescens* overwinters in the temperate zone by producing rhizomes 15 to 20 cm below the surface (Lonard, personal observations). *Borrichia frutescens* maintains a typical subshrub growth form and survives during mild winters in the subtropics. Individual woody shoots may live for up to 5 years (Cattell and Karl, 2004). The northern distribution appears to be limited by the severity and duration of freezing temperatures. No freeze-tolerance studies have been reported, to our knowledge, for this species.

### PLANT COMMUNITIES

Plant communities on coastlines where *B. frutescens* occurs are typically referred to by terminology used to describe habitats and topographic zones. Communities are designated as salt marshes, brackish marshes, salt pans, salt flats, salt prairies, or estuary communities (Eleuterius, 1972; Harvill, 1965; Judd and Lonard, 2002; Kelley, 2006; Johnston 1952, 1955; Oosting, 1954; Stalter and Lamont, 1990, 1993). On South Padre Island, Texas, Judd, Lonard, and Sides (1977) associated plant communities with topographic facets. *Borrichia frutescens* occurs in depressions in the secondary dunes and vegetated flats, on the margins of wind-tidal flats, on the margins of hurricane washover sites, and in disturbed sites, including roadsides (Judd, Lonard, and Sides, 1977).

Johnston (1952, 1955); Castillo, Popma, and Moreno-Casasola (1991); and Moreno-Casasola and Espejel (1986) designated plant communities in coastal wetlands with species-specific nomenclature. In the Rio Grande Delta of Texas, Johnston (1952, 1955) referred to poorly drained flats that support a plant community dominated by halophytic shrubs and cacti as a *Borrichia* flats community. This community usually occurs on waterlogged clays 0 to 3 m above sea level. Species associated with the dominant *B. frutescens* include *Batis maritima*, *Salicornia virginica*, *Suaeda* spp., and *Distichlis littoralis*. In Tamaulipas,



Table 1. Representative species associated with *Borrchia frutescens* in Bermuda and from Virginia to Florida.

Species	BE	VA	NC	SC	GA
<i>Asparagus sprengeri</i>	X				
<i>Avicennia germinans</i>	X				
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>		X		X	
<i>Batis maritima</i>				X	X
<i>Borrchia arborescens</i>	X				
<i>Carex albolutescens</i>			X		
<i>Centella erecta</i>			X		
<i>Conocarpus arborescens</i>	X				
<i>Cyperus grayi</i>		X			
<i>Cyperus strigosus</i>			X		
<i>Distichlis spicata</i>		X	X	X	X
<i>Eleocharis albida</i>			X		
<i>Erechtites hieracifolia</i>			X		
<i>Eupatorium capillifolium</i>			X		
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>		X	X	X	
<i>Heliotropium curassavicum</i>	X				
<i>Iva frutescens</i>		X		X	
<i>Juncus dichotomus</i>			X		
<i>Juncus marginatus</i>			X		
<i>Juncus maritimus</i>	X				
<i>Juncus megacephalus</i>			X		
<i>Juncus roemerianus</i>		X	X	X	X
<i>Limonium carolinianum</i>		X	X	X	X
<i>Lythrum lineare</i>			X		
<i>Paspalum vaginatum</i>	X				
<i>Pluchea purpurascens</i>		X			
<i>Rhizophora mangle</i>	X				
<i>Sabatia stellaris</i>			X	X	
<i>Salicornia bigelovii</i>		X			X
<i>Salicornia europaea</i>		X			
<i>Salicornia virginica</i>			X	X	X
<i>Samolus valerandi</i> ssp. <i>parviflorus</i>			X		
<i>Sarcocornia perennis</i>	X		X		
<i>Schinus terebenthifolius</i>	X				
<i>Sesuvium portulacastrum</i>	X				
<i>Spartina alterniflora</i>		X	X	X	X
<i>Spartina patens</i>		X		X	
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>				X	X
<i>Suaeda linearis</i>				X	X
<i>Symphyotrichum tenuifolium</i>				X	

BE = Bermuda (Anonymous, 2013b; Thomas, 1993); VA = Virginia (Harvill, 1965; Stalter and Lamont, 1990); NC = North Carolina (Cleary, Hosier, and Wells, 1979; Kelley, 2006; Wright, Edwards, and van de Plassche, 2011); SC = South Carolina (Luken, 2012; Stalter and Lamont, 1993; Stalter *et al.*, 2006); GA = Georgia (Antliffinger, 1981, 1982; Antliffinger and Dunn, 1983; Guo and Pennings, 2012; Hanson, 1983; Pennings and Callaway, 2000; Richards, Pennings, and Donovan, 2005).

Tabasco, and Campeche, Mexico, Castillo, Popma, and Moreno-Casasola (1991) and Moreno-Casasola and Espejel (1986) identified a *Borrchia frutescens*–*Fimbristylis spadiacea* = *F. puberula* community that occurred in wet depressions in sheltered sites on the leeward margins of foredunes. In coastal wetlands in Tabasco and Campeche, these authors identified a *Borrchia frutescens* community that occurred in protected and stabilized dunes about 60 m from the high tide mark, and in Campeche, they identified an *Ernodea littoralis*–*Borrchia frutescens* community in stabilized, vegetated flats. Shrubs including *Coccoloba uvifera*, *Randia laetevirens*, and *Lantana involucrata* characterized that assemblage. In Veracruz, Gómez-Pompa (1973) indicated that *B. frutescens* was an important species in “espartal” (*Spartina*) grasslands that are periodically inundated. Tables 1 and 2

Table 2. Representative species associated with *Borrchia frutescens* from Florida to Texas and on the coastline of Veracruz, Mexico.

Species	FL	MS	LA	TX	MV
<i>Agalinis maritima</i>	X				
<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i>			X		
<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	X				X
<i>Avicennia germinans</i>	X		X	X	
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	X	X	X	X	
<i>Batis maritima</i>	X		X	X	X
<i>Blutaparon vermiculare</i>				X	
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i>				X	
<i>Borrchia arborescens</i>	X				
<i>Borrchia cubana</i>	X				
<i>Batis maritima</i>	X	X	X		
<i>Conocarpus erectus</i>	X				
<i>Cuscuta indecora</i>				X	
<i>Distichlis littoralis</i>				X	
<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	X		X		
<i>Eleocharis austrotexanus</i>				X	
<i>Eryngium integrifolium</i>		X			
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>					X
<i>Fimbristylis spathacea</i>	X				X
<i>Heliotropium curassavicum</i>				X	
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>				X	
<i>Ipomoea purpurascens</i>		X			
<i>Iva angustifolia</i>			X		
<i>Iva frutescens</i>	X	X	X	X	
<i>Juncus roemerianus</i>	X	X	X	X	
<i>Laguncularia racemosa</i>	X				
<i>Limonium carolinianum</i>	X		X	X	
<i>Machaeranthera phyllocephala</i>				X	
<i>Prosopis reptans</i>				X	
<i>Rhizophora mangle</i>	X				
<i>Salicornia bigelovii</i>			X	X	
<i>Salicornia perennis</i>					X
<i>Salicornia virginica</i>	X			X	
<i>Sesuvium portulacastrum</i>	X				
<i>Samolus valerandi</i>				X	
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i>		X			
<i>Schoenoplectus pungens</i>				X	
<i>Schoenoplectus robustus</i>			X		
<i>Solidago sempervirens</i>		X			
<i>Spartina alterniflora</i>	X	X	X	X	
<i>Spartina bakeri</i>	X				
<i>Spartina patens</i>	X	X	X	X	
<i>Spartina spartinae</i>	X			X	X
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>	X			X	
<i>Suaeda linearis</i>	X			X	
<i>Symphyotrichum divaricatum</i>			X		
<i>Symphyotrichum tenuifolium</i>		X			
<i>Typha domingensis</i>				X	

FL = Florida (Anderson and Alexander, 1985; Schmidt *et al.*, 2010; Stalter and Lynch, unpublished data; Stiling *et al.*, 1992); MS = Mississippi (Eleuterius, 1972; Elsey-Quirk, Middleton, and Proffitt, 2009; McCall and Pennings, 2012; Proffitt *et al.*, 2005); LA = Louisiana (Elsey-Quirk, Middleton, and Proffitt, 2009; McCall and Pennings, 2012; Proffitt *et al.*, 2005); TX = Texas (Abuzeineh *et al.*, 2007; Alexander and Dunton, 2006; Feagin and Wu, 2006; Heinsch *et al.*, 2004; Johnston, 1955; Lonard and Judd, 2002; Lonard *et al.*, 2005; Marquardt and Pennings, 2011; Nelson *et al.*, 2000; Stutzenbaker, 1999; Tunnell, Withers, and Hardegree, 1997); MV = Mexico, Veracruz (Gómez-Pompa, 1973).

contain representative species associated with *B. frutescens* throughout its range in North America and Bermuda.

## PHYSIOLOGICAL ECOLOGY

*Borrchia frutescens* is a C<sub>3</sub> halophyte in carbon fixation with its light-independent reactions to photosynthesis (Antl-

finger and Dunn, 1979). In the tropics and subtropics, photosynthesis occurs throughout the year, but winter rates of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange are reduced (Antlfinger and Dunn, 1979). Respiration rates are similar in summer and winter (Antlfinger and Dunn, 1979).

Infrequent flooding in the high salt marsh and evaporation results in concentration of salts. *Borrichia frutescens* in sites of highest salinity is stunted (Antlfinger and Dunn, 1983). Salinity typically varies from 20 to 50 ppt in the high marsh and from 100 to 130 ppt in adjacent salt flats in Georgia (Antlfinger, 1981). Sea ox-eye exhibits salt-induced succulence in leaves, and the root system removes salt. The relative water content attributed to leaf succulence is 73%. Salts are sequestered within cellular vacuoles (Antlfinger, 1981).

Salinity tolerance is associated with sodium accumulation and dilution through succulence and the synthesis of nitrogen-rich compatible solutes proline and glycine–betaine (Richards *et al.*, 2010). Ash content is high in plant tissues, which is indicative of NaCl accumulation (Lanning and Eleuterius, 1985). However, Cavalieri and Huang (1979) found that the osmoregulatory function of proline occurs only in soil salinities exceeding sea water. Cavalieri and Huang (1977) reported that *B. frutescens* has a salt tolerant enzyme, NAD–malate dehydrogenase, which represents a possible adaptation to a salt marsh environment.

Alexander and Dunton (2006) and Dunton, Hardegree, and Whiteledge (2001) noted that *B. frutescens* responds by producing greater biomass and plant cover following the reduction of salinity. Heinsch *et al.* (2004) found that high salinity values that occur during extended periods of tidal inundation or extended drought conditions cause this species to lose its leaves.

High sulfide concentrations in salt marshes are metabolic toxins. Both plant size and biomass are reduced by increasing concentrations of this toxin, and rhizomes are particularly sensitive (Bradley and Dunn, 1989). Clonal integration of *B. frutescens* stands allows rhizomatous parental clones in low-salinity sites to supply water to lateral growth, salt-stressed shoots on the high-salinity margins of the stand (Pennings and Callaway, 2000). Antlfinger (1982) found that salinity in the center of *B. frutescens* stands was about 15 ppt, whereas salinity was about 45 ppt on the margins of clones.

## Phenology

Flowering and fruiting in sea ox-eye is expected at any time of the year because of its distribution in warm–temperate, subtropical, and tropical environments (Correll and Johnston, 1970; Lonard and Judd, 1989; Rossi *et al.*, 1999. In Maryland and Virginia, flowering occurs from July to September (Hill, 1986). Peak flowering in Mississippi is from June to August (Eleuterius and Caldwell, 1984), and in Florida, it is from May to July (Rossi *et al.*, 1999). Gandhi and Thomas (1989) and Lehman, O'Brien, and White (2005) noted that peak flowering occurred from April to December in Louisiana and Texas. *Borrichia frutescens* has been introduced in coastal sites in southern Spain, where flowering has been reported from February to April, and seedlings are common after rainfall in autumn (Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios, 2002).

## PRODUCTIVITY

Net aerial primary productivity is often used to elucidate the growth potential of salt marsh plants. Linthurst and Reimold (1978) estimated living biomass for *B. frutescens* in Georgia salt marshes ranged from a minimum value of 648 g m<sup>-2</sup> to a maximum of 1860 g m<sup>-2</sup>. They noted a fairly high litter base of dead biomass, ranging from a minimum of 184 g m<sup>-2</sup> to as high as 291 g m<sup>-2</sup>. Stem density was high and varied from 213 m<sup>2</sup> to 380 m<sup>2</sup> (Linthurst and Reimold, 1978). Pennings, Stanton, and Brewer (2002) fertilized the ecotone between *Spartina alterniflora* and *B. frutescens* in salt marshes in Georgia. They found much higher productivity in *S. alterniflora* but a reduction in biomass and plant height of *B. frutescens*. They concluded that fertilization favored the low marsh-dominant species *S. alterniflora* (Pennings, Stanton, and Brewer, 2002).

## POPULATION BIOLOGY

*Borrichia frutescens* is a long-lived, rhizomatous subshrub that has individual stems that typically live for 5 years. Aerial shoots usually arise singly from laterally spreading rhizomes (Cattell and Karl, 2004). Elongated rhizomes enable populations to colonize barren, hypersaline salt pans (Pennings and Callaway, 2000). Rhizome lengths of plants in the saline wetlands of Galveston, Texas, are 50 cm or longer.

## Population Dynamics

Population dynamics in coastal habitats is controlled by physical factors and naturally occurring and anthropocentric disturbances. Salinization influences highly clonal populations of *B. frutescens* to form dense stands with intense competition in crowded niches. Vegetative reproduction is enhanced.

Only a few offspring are produced by sexual reproduction (K-selection) (Blits and Gallagher, 1991; Semple and Semple, 1977). Antlfinger (1982) found that random mating and complete outcrossing occurred in *B. frutescens* populations. Pollen flow is only effective where 20 to 30 individuals occur within 1 m<sup>-2</sup>.

Tunnell, Withers, and Hardegree (1997) followed the recovery of *B. frutescens* populations in a Texas bay system following an oil spill. The site was burned to remove the oil. They found that the site, formerly dominated by *B. frutescens*, was dominated by *Distichlis spicata* several years after the fire. Lonard, Judd, and Smith (2003) noted that *B. frutescens* populations recovered quickly after a wild fire on the margins of the wind-tidal flats on North Padre Island, Texas. They found that *B. frutescens* was the only species in flower 108 days after the fire.

## REPRODUCTION

*Borrichia frutescens* is self-incompatible and depends on insects to transfer spinulose, tricolporate pollen to receptive stigmatic surfaces (Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios, 2002; Gandhi and Thomas, 1989). Sea ox-eye is visited by generalist pollinators, including bees, butterflies, moths, wasps, and beetles (Antlfinger, 1982; Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios, 2002). Bees and butterflies are the most important pollinators, and pollen exchange is weighted toward a few individuals (Antlfinger, 1982). Mean pollinator flight distance is only 40 cm between inflorescences (Antlfinger, 1982).

## Achene Production

Achene production, equated with seed production, is great in *B. frutescens*. Each inflorescence contains 15 to 30 pistillate florets and 20 to 75 disc florets (Antlfinger, 1981; Semple, 2006).

## Dispersal

*Borrichia frutescens* is a widely distributed, New World temperate, subtropical, and tropical maritime species. Animals, including invertebrates and vertebrates, are the most likely vectors of the achenes. The firm, crown-like pappus of both ray and disc achenes may serve to aid in dispersal. Achenes may be carried on the external surfaces of birds and mammals or ingested and dispersed in feces. Tidal action may serve to move achenes over wide distances.

No data are available for seed viability after exposure to sea water.

## Seed Banks

Ungar (2001) stated that seed banks may have an important role in temporal and spatial distributions of halophytes. However, seed bank data have not been reported for this species.

## Germination Ecology and Establishment of Seedlings

Crespo, Ruiz de León, and Rios (2002) noted that seedlings were abundant on sandy, saline substrates in Spain after autumn rainfall. However, Antlfinger (1981) indicated that seedling establishment was a rare event in saline wetlands in Georgia.

## Vegetative Reproduction

Salt marsh vegetation depends almost entirely on vegetative reproduction. *Borrichia frutescens* is a perennial clonal species that provides small amounts of resources into sexual reproduction (Cattell and Karl, 2004). Vegetative reproduction by rhizomes is the primary mechanism for the lateral spread of this species into bare patches in saline coastal wetlands and salt pans.

## GEOMORPHOLOGICAL INTERACTIONS

Stands of *B. frutescens* and other species in coastal wetlands are often buried by wrack deposition by abnormally high tides. Stalter *et al.* (2006) found that virtually all salt marsh vegetation, with the exception of *Spartina patens* and *B. frutescens*, disappeared after 2 months of coverage by wrack. Stalter *et al.* (2006) reported that *B. frutescens* was resilient even after 7 months of coverage by 15 to 25 cm of wrack.

## Role in Geomorphology

*Borrichia frutescens* is often the climax species in saline coastal wetlands where few halophytes are adapted to that harsh environment (Tunnell, Withers, and Hardegree, 1997). The primary role in geomorphology is the stabilization of the substrate, particularly in the high marsh.

## INTERACTIONS WITH OTHER SPECIES

Competition in natural plant communities is more important in communities that have favorable conditions than it is in those communities exposed to harsh physical circumstances (Bertness and Shumway, 1993). Positive or facilitation interactions among species are more often a feature of unfavorable

physical parameters. Neighboring individuals or adjacent species may serve as buffers from physical stress factors (Bertness and Shumway, 1993).

In Georgia, *B. frutescens* dominates the landward border of most salt marshes (Pennings and Moore, 2001). The lower border of salt marshes is influenced more by physical stress than by competition, whereas the upper border of the herbaceous or grassy zone is set mostly by competition with shrubs (Pennings and Moore, 2001). Plant height and the number of leaves of sea ox-eye increase with higher elevations, and improved soil drainage promotes growth (Pennings and Moore, 2001).

Guo and Pennings (2012) found that localized oyster shell deposits altered the dynamics of salt marsh populations. The annual succulent *Suaeda linearis* is usually excluded by waterlogging and by perennial community dominants, including *B. frutescens*, *Juncus roemerianus*, and *S. alterniflora*. The physical condition of slightly elevated topography provided by oyster shell deposits produces a physical niche that provides a unique habitat for *S. linearis*. The niche does not allow the usual perennial dominants to colonize those sites (Guo and Pennings, 2012).

## Herbivores, Predators, Parasites, and Parasitoids

*Borrichia frutescens* is often subjected to a wide variety of nematode infestations, insect herbivores, parasites, parasitoids, and commensalistic imperfect fungi. The nematode *Tylenchulus palustris*, which is closely related to roundworm infestations of commercial citrus trees, parasitizes the root system of *B. frutescens* (Dow *et al.*, 1990; Tanha Maafi *et al.*, 2012).

The gall-forming midge (*Asphondylia borrichiae*) may transmit the imperfect fungi *Alternaria* sp. and *Fusarium* sp. when the midge parasitizes *B. frutescens* (Strake, Keagy, and Stiling, 2006). Midges initiate solitary galls adjacent to the apical meristem of sea ox-eye shoots and can assume the role of a parasitoid and kill the sea ox-eye host (Stiling *et al.*, 1992). Galls, in turn, are affected by several species of parasitoid wasps that lay eggs in the galls. Developing wasp larvae then consume the midge larvae (Strake, Keagy, and Stiling, 2006). A specialized plant hopper (*Pissonotus quadripustulatus*) feeds only on *B. frutescens* and the gall-forming midge. (Stiling *et al.*, 1999; Stiling and Moon, 2005). Thrips (Order: Thysanoptera) have been collected from *B. frutescens* shoots in south Texas, but Cole and McDaniel (1964) did not indicate how those insects affect sea ox-eye populations. The angiosperm hemiparasite *Cuscuta indecora* (dodder) infects *B. frutescens* early in the growing season, but dodder usually does not kill host plants (Marquardt and Pennings, 2011).

## RESPONSE TO WATER LEVELS

*Borrichia frutescens* is periodically inundated by high tides or less frequently by storm surges. Populations on the margins of wind-tidal flats on South Padre Island, Texas, occur where the water table is only 14 cm below the substrate surface (Judd, Lonard, and Sides, 1977). In South Carolina salt marshes, Stalter (1968) noted that sea ox-eye occurs in the high marsh and the low high marsh, in sites 1.43 to 1.81 m above mean sea level and where submergence averages 58 min d<sup>-1</sup>.



## ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

*Borrichia frutescens* populations may have some value in stabilization of substrates in salt marshes and brackish marshes, but its potential has not been explored. Stutzenbaker (1999) stated that sea ox-eye is not a candidate for propagation and transplantation, but the best method for propagation is to plant rhizomes in wet sites in late winter. Stutzenbaker (1999) further indicated that this perennial species does not require resource management actions.

## Wildlife and Ornamental Values

*Borrichia frutescens* provides cover and nutrition for wildlife. Sand fiddler crabs (*Uca pugnator*) forage on sparsely vegetated stands of *B. frutescens* and *S. alterniflora* in South Carolina (Viscido and Wethey, 2002). In Georgia, Buck *et al.* (2003) found that salt marsh crabs (*Armases cinereum*) foraged on fresh leaves and leaf litter of sea ox-eye. In Texas wetlands, *B. frutescens* provides nectar for butterflies and bees and achenes for granivorous birds (Anonymous, 2013a).

On the Texas coastline, laughing gulls (*Larus atricilla*), American white pelicans (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*), and roseate spoonbills (*Ajaia ajaja*) build nests with *B. frutescens* or seek cover in stands of this species (Texas Colonial Waterbird Society, 1982; Thebeau and Chapman, 1984; White, Mitchell, and Cromartie, 1982). The endangered whooping crane (*Grus americana*) overwinters in Texas salt marshes in plant communities dominated by *B. frutescens*, *Batis maritima*, *S. alterniflora*, and *Salicornia* spp. (Lewis, 1995). The habitat of the endangered Lower Keys marsh rabbit (*Sylvilagus palustris hefneri*) is coastal salt marsh prairies and buttonwood ecotones where sea ox-eye is an important species. *Borrichia frutescens* makes up 25% of the diet of that species (Forys, 1999).

An overlooked value for the attractive inflorescences of this species is its potential value as an ornamental. Richardson and King (2011) stated that sea ox-eye is a candidate for landscaping in saline soils that would otherwise inhibit growth of glycophytes.

## Medicinal Uses

No information, to our knowledge, is available about medical uses of *B. frutescens*.

## Potential Biological Control Agents

No biological control agents have been isolated from this species.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Glennis and David Lonard for providing assistance in the preparation of the manuscript and to an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments and suggestions. Dr. Alfred Richardson and Ken King kindly provided digital images of *Borrichia frutescens*.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Abuzeineh, A.A.; Owen, R.D.; McIntyre, N.E.; Dick, C.W.; Strauss, R.E., and Holsomback, T., 2007. Response of marsh rice rat (*Oryzomys palustris*) to inundation of habitat. *Southwestern Naturalist*, 52(1), 75–78.
- Adams, D.A., 1963. Factors influencing vascular plant zonation in North Carolina marshes. *Ecology*, 44(3), 445–456.
- Alexander, H.B. and Dunton, K.H., 2006. Treated wastewater effluent as an alternative freshwater source in a hypersaline salt marsh: Impacts on salinity in organic nitrogen and emergent vegetation. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 22(2), 379–392.
- Anderson, L.C. and Alexander, L.L., 1985. The vegetation of Dog Island, Florida. *Florida Scientist*, 48(4), 232–251.
- Anonymous, 2013a. *Borrichia frutescens* (bushy seaside tansy). Austin, Texas: Lady Bird Johnson Wildlife Center. [http://www.wildflower.org/plantsresult.php?id\\_BOHR](http://www.wildflower.org/plantsresult.php?id_BOHR).
- Anonymous, 2013b. *Bermuda Conservation-Saltwater Ponds*. Government of Bermuda, Ministry of Environment and Planning, Department of Conservation Services, 5p. <http://www.conservation.bm/saltwater-ponds/>.
- Antlfinger, A.E., 1981. The genetic basis of microdifferentiation in natural and experimental populations of *Borrichia frutescens* in relation to salinity. *Evolution*, 35(6), 1056–1068.
- Antlfinger, A.E., 1982. The genetic neighborhood structure of the salt marsh composite *Borrichia frutescens*. *Journal of Heredity*, 73(2), 128–132.
- Antlfinger, A.E. and Dunn, E.L., 1979. Seasonal patterns of CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor exchange of three salt marsh succulents. *Oecologia*, 43(3), 249–260.
- Antlfinger, A.E. and Dunn, E.L., 1983. Water use and salt balance in three salt marsh succulents. *American Journal of Botany*, 70(4), 561–567.
- Bertness, M.D. and Shumway, S.W., 1993. Competition and facilitation in marsh plants. *American Naturalist*, 142(4), 718–724.
- Blake, L.B., 1951. Erroneous record of *Borrichia frutescens* from District of Columbia. *Rhodora*, 53(632), 206–207.
- Blits, K.C. and Gallagher, J.L., 1991. Morphological and physiological responses to increased salinity in marsh and dune ecotypes of *Sporobolus virginicus* (L.) Kunth. *Oecologia*, 87(3), 330–335.
- Bradley, P.M. and Dunn, E.L., 1989. Effects of sulfide on the growth of three salt marsh halophytes of the Southeastern United States. *American Journal of Botany*, 76(12), 1707–1713.
- Buck, T.L.; Breed, G.A.; Pennings, S.C.; Chase, M.E.; Zimmer, M., and Carefoot, T.H., 2003. Diet choice in an omnivorous salt-marsh crab: Different food types, body size and habitat complexity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 292(2003), 103–116.
- Castillo, S.; Popma, J., and Moreno-Casasola, P., 1991. Coastal sand dune vegetation of Tabasco and Campeche, Mexico. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 2(1), 73–88.
- Cattell, M.V. and Karl, S.A., 2004. Genetics and morphology in *Borrichia frutescens* and *B. arborescens* (Asteraceae) hybrid zone. *American Journal of Botany*, 91(11), 1757–1766.
- Cavalieri, A.J. and Huang, A.H.C., 1977. Effect of NaCl on the *in vitro* activity of malate dehydrogenase in salt marsh halophytes of the U.S. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 41(1), 79–84.
- Cavalieri, A.J. and Huang, A.H.C., 1979. Evaluation of proline accumulation in the adaptation of diverse species of marsh halophytes to the saline environment. *American Journal of Botany*, 66(3), 307–312.
- Cleary, W.J.; Hosier, P.E., and Wells, G.R., 1979. Genesis and significance of marsh islands within southeastern North Carolina lagoons. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, 49(3), 703–710.
- Cole, C. and McDaniel, B., 1964. Thrips collected from native hosts of south Texas plants. *Texas Journal of Science*, 16(4), 446–448.
- Correll, D.S. and Johnston, M.C., 1970. *Manual of the Vascular Plants of Texas*. Renner, Texas: Texas Research Foundation, 1881p.
- Crespo, M.B.; Ruiz de León, A., and Rios, S., 2002. *Borrichia* Adans. (Asteraceae, Heliantheae), a new record for the Mediterranean flora. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, 50(3), 239–242.
- Dow, R.L.; Inserra, R.N.; Esser, R.P., and Langdon, K.R., 1990. Distribution, hosts, and morphological characteristics of *Tylenchulus palustris* in Florida and Bermuda. *Journal of Nematology*, 22(4), S724–S728.
- Drawe, D.L.; Kattner, K.R.; McFarland, W.H., and Nehr, D.D., 1981. Vegetation and soil properties of five habitat types on North Padre Island, Texas. *Texas Journal of Science*, 23(2–4), 145–157.



- Dunton, K.H.; Hardegree, B., and Whiteledge, T.E., 2001. Response of estuarine marsh vegetation to interannual variations in precipitation. *Estuaries*, 24(6A), 851–861.
- Eleuterius, L.N., 1972. The marshes of Mississippi. *Castanea*, 37(3), 153–168.
- Eleuterius, L.N. and Caldwell, J.D., 1984. Flowering phenology of tidal marsh plants in Mississippi. *Castanea*, 49(3), 172–179.
- Eleuterius, L.N. and McDaniel, S., 1978. The salt marsh flora of Mississippi. *Castanea*, 43(2), 86–95.
- Elsey-Quirk, T.; Middleton, B.A., and Proffitt, C.E., 2009. Seed dispersal and seedling emergence in a created and natural salt marsh on the Gulf of Mexico coast in southwest Louisiana, U.S.A. *Restoration Ecology*, 17(3), 422–432.
- Espejel, I., 1986. La vegetación de las dunas de la península de Yucatán, II: Sian Ka'an. *Biotica*, 11(1), 7–24.
- Everitt, J.H.; Drawe, D.L., and Lonard, R.I., 2002. *Trees, Shrubs & Cacti of South Texas*. Lubbock, Texas; Texas Tech University Press, 249p.
- Feagin, R.A. and Wu, X.B., 2006. Spatial pattern and edge characteristics in restored terrace versus reference salt marshes in Galveston Bay. *Wetlands*, 24(4), 1004–1011.
- Forys, E.A., 1999. Food habits of the lower Florida Keys marsh rabbit (*Sylvilagus palustris hefneri*). *Florida Scientist*, 62(2), 106–110.
- Gandhi, K.N. and Thomas, R.D., 1989. *Asteraceae of Louisiana*. Dallas, Texas: SMU Herbarium/BRIT. Sida, Botanical Miscellany. 4, 202p.
- Gómez-Pompa, A., 1973. Ecology of the vegetation of Veracruz. In: Graham, A. (ed.). *Vegetation and Vegetational History of Northern Latin America*. New York: Elsevier, pp. 73–148.
- Guo, H. and Pennings, S.C., 2012. Post-mortem ecosystem engineering of oysters creates habitat for a rare marsh plant. *Oecologia*, 170(3), 789–798.
- Hanson, R.B., 1983. Nitrogen fixation activity (acetylene reduction) in the rhizosphere of salt marsh angiosperms, Georgia, U.S.A. *Botanica Marina*, 26(2), 49–59.
- Harvill, A.M., Jr., 1965. The vegetation of Parramore Island, Virginia. *Castanea*, 30(4), 226–228.
- Heinsch, F.A.; Heilman, J.G.; McInnes, K.J.; Cobos, D.R.; Zubere, D.A., and Roelke, D.L., 2004. Carbon dioxide exchange in a high marsh on the Texas Gulf Coast: Effects of freshwater availability. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 125(1), 159–172.
- Hill, S.R., 1986. An annotated checklist of the vascular flora of Assateague Island (Maryland and Virginia). *Castanea*, 51(4), 265–305.
- Johnston, M.C., 1952. Vegetation of Eastern Cameron County, Texas. Austin, Texas: University of Texas, Master's thesis, 127p.
- Johnston, M.C., 1955. Vegetation of the Eolian Plain and Associated Coastal Features of Southern Texas. Austin, Texas: University of Texas, Ph.D thesis, 167p.
- Judd, F.W. and Lonard, R.I., 2002. Species richness and diversity of brackish and salt marshes in the Rio Grande Delta. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 18(4), 751–759.
- Judd, F.W. and Lonard, R.I., 2004. Community ecology of freshwater, brackish and salt marshes of the Rio Grande Delta. *Texas Journal of Science*, 56(2), 103–122.
- Judd, F.W.; Lonard, R.I., and Sides, S.L., 1977. The vegetation of South Padre Island, Texas, in relation to topography. *Southwestern Naturalist*, 22(1), 31–48.
- Kelley, L., 2006. The vascular flora of Huggins Island, Onslow County, North Carolina. *Castanea*, 71(4), 295–311.
- Lanning, F.C and Eleuterius, L.N., 1985. Silica and ash in tissues of some plants in the coastal area of Mississippi, USA. *Annals of Botany*, 56(2), 157–172.
- Lehman, R.L., 2013. *Marine Plants of the Texas Coast*. College Station, Texas: Texas A&M University Press, 205p.
- Lehman, R.L.; O'Brien, R., and White, T., 2005. *Plants of the Texas Coastal Bend*. College Station, Texas: Texas A&M University Press, 352p.
- Lewis, J.C., 1995. Whooping crane (*Grus americana*). *The Birds of North America Online*. Poole, A. (ed.). Ithaca, New York: Cornell Lab of Ornithology. <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/153>.
- Linthurst, R.A. and Reimold, R.J., 1978. An evaluation of methods for estimating the net aerial primary productivity of estuarine angiosperms. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 15(3), 919–931.
- Lonard, R.I. and Judd, F.W., 1981. *The Terrestrial Flora of South Padre Island, Texas*. Austin, Texas: Texas Memorial Museum Miscellaneous Papers 6, 74p.
- Lonard, R.I. and Judd, F.W., 1989. Phenology of native angiosperms on South Padre Island, Texas. In: Bragg, T. and Stubbendieck, J. (eds.). *Proceedings of the North American Prairie Conference*. Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska, pp. 217–222.
- Lonard, R.I. and Judd, F.W., 2002. Riparian vegetation of the lower Rio Grande. *Southwestern Naturalist*, 47(3), 420–432.
- Lonard, R.I.; Judd, F.W.; Everitt, J.H., and Davis, M.R., 2005. Remote sensing of resaca wetlands in south Texas. *Proceedings of the 20th Biennial Workshop on Aerial Photography, Videography, and High Resolution Digital Imagery for Resource Assessment* (Weslaco, Texas, ASPRS), pp. 232–237.
- Lonard, R.I.; Judd, F.W., and Smith, E.H., 2003. Recovery of vegetation following a wildfire on the margins of tidal flats, Padre Island National Seashore, Texas. *Texas Journal of Science*, 61(2), 83–96.
- Lonard, R.I.; Richardson, A.T., and Richard, N.L., 2004. The vascular flora of the Palo Alto National Battlefield Historic Site, Cameron County, Texas. *Texas Journal of Science*, 56(1), 15–34.
- Long, R.W. and Lakela, O., 1971. *A Flora of Tropical Florida: A Manual of the Seed Plants and Ferns of Southern Peninsular Florida*. Coral Gables, Florida: University of Miami Press, 962p.
- Luken, J.D., 2012. Relative stability of plant communities in a South Carolina high marsh. *Castanea*, 77(4), 327–334.
- McCall, B.D. and Pennings, S.C., 2012. Disturbance and recovery of salt marsh arthropod communities following BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *PLoS ONE* 7(3), e32735. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032735.
- Marquardt, E.S. and Pennings, S.C., 2011. Diet mixing in a parasitic plant: Adaptation or constraint? *Plant Ecology*, 212(1), 69–77.
- Moreno-Casasola, P., 1988. Patterns of plant species distribution on coastal dunes along the Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Biogeography*, 15(5/6), 787–806.
- Moreno-Casasola, P. and Espejel, I., 1986. Classification and ordination of coastal sand dune vegetation along the Gulf and Caribbean Sea of Mexico. *Vegetatio*, 66(3), 147–182.
- Negrete, I.G.; Nelson, A.D.; Goetze, J.R.; Macke, L.; Wilburn, T., and Day, A., 1999. A checklist for the vascular plants of Padre Island National Seashore. *Sida*, 18(4), 1227–1245.
- Nelson, A.D.; Goetze, J.R.; Negrete, I.G.; French, V.E.; Johnson, M.P., and Macke, L.M., 2000. Vegetation analysis and floristics of four communities in the Big Ball Hill region of Padre Island National Seashore. *Southwestern Naturalist*, 45(4), 431–442.
- Oosting, H.J., 1954. Ecological processes and vegetation of the maritime strand in the Southeastern United States. *Botanical Review*, 20(4), 226–262.
- Pennings, S.C. and Callaway, R.M., 2000. The advantages of clonal integration under different ecological conditions: A community-wide test. *Ecology*, 81(3), 709–716.
- Pennings, S.C. and Moore, D.J., 2001. Zonation of shrubs in western Atlantic salt marshes. *Oecologia*, 126(4), 587–594.
- Pennings, S.C.; Stanton, L.E., and Brewer, J.S., 2002. Nutrient effects on the composition of salt marsh plant communities along the southern Atlantic and Gulf coasts of the United States. *Estuaries*, 25(6A), 1164–1173.
- Proffitt, C.E.; Chisson, R.L.; Owens, A.B.; Edwards, K.R., and Travis, S.E., 2005. *Spartina alterniflora* genotype influences facilitation and suppression of high marsh species colonizing an early successional salt marsh. *Journal of Ecology*, 93(2), 404–416.
- Radford, A.E.; Ahles, H.E., and Bell, C.R., 1968. *Manual of the Vascular Flora of the Carolinas*. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: University of North Carolina Press, 1183p.
- Richards, C.L.; Hamrick, J.L.; Donovan, L.A., and Mauricio, R., 2004. Unexpectedly high clonal diversity of two salt marsh perennials across a severe environmental gradient. *Ecology Letters*, 7(12), 1155–1162.

- Richards, C.L.; Pennings, S.C., and Donovan, L.A., 2005. Habitat range and phenotypic variation in salt marsh plants. *Plant Ecology*, 176(2), 263–273.
- Richards, C.L.; White, S.N.; McGuire, M.A.; Franks, S.J.; Donovan, L.A., and Mauricio, R., 2010. Plasticity, not adaptation to salt level, explains variation along a salinity gradient in a salt marsh perennial. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 33(4), 840–852.
- Richardson, A. and King, K., 2011. *Plants of Deep South Texas: A Field Guide to the Woody and Flowering Species*. College Station, Texas: Texas A&M University Press, 457p.
- Rossi, A.M.; Stiling, P.; Catell, M.V., and Bowdish, T.I., 1999. Evidence for host-associated races in a gall-forming midge: Tradeoffs in potential fecundity. *Ecological Entomology*, 24(1), 95–102.
- Sauer, J., 1967. *Geographic Reconnaissance of Seashore Vegetation Along the Mexican Gulf Coast*. Baton Rouge, Louisiana: Louisiana State University Press, 59p.
- Schmidt, P.M.; McCleery, R.A.; Lopez, R.R.; Silvy, N.J., and Schmidt, J.A., 2010. Habitat succession, hardwood encroachment and raccoons as limiting factors for Lower Keys marsh rabbits. *Biological Conservation*, 143(11), 2703–2710.
- Semple, J.C., 1978. A revision of the genus *Borrichia* Adans. (Compositae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, 65(4), 681–693.
- Semple, J.C., 2006. Treatment of *Borrichia* Adanson, In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee (eds.). *Flora of North America, North of Mexico, Volume 21: Magnoliophyta: Asteridae, Part 8: Asteraceae, Part 3*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 129–131.
- Semple, J.C. and Semple, K.S., 1977. *Borrichia* × *cubana* (*B. frutescens* × *arborescens*): interspecific hybridization in the Florida Keys. *Systematic Botany*, 24(4), 292–301.
- Smith, L.B., 1953. *Borrichia frutescens* from Chesapeake Bay. *Rhodora*, 55(650), 58–59.
- Stalter, R., 1968. An Ecological Study of a South Carolina Salt Marsh. Columbia, South Carolina: University of South Carolina, Ph.D thesis, 62p.
- Stalter, R., 1993. *Barrier Island Botany: The Southeastern United States*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 164p.
- Stalter, R. and Batson, W.T., 1973. Seed viability in salt marsh taxa, Georgetown County, South Carolina. *Castanea*, 38(1), 109–110.
- Stalter, R.; Jung, A.; Starosta, A.; Baden, J., and Byer, M.D., 2006. Effect of wrack accumulation on salt marsh vegetation, Baruch Institute, Georgetown County, South Carolina. *Transactions on Ecology and the Environment*, 88, 305–313.
- Stalter, R. and Lamont, E.E., 1990. The vascular flora of Assateague Island, Virginia. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club*, 117(1), 48–56.
- Stalter, R. and Lamont, E.E., 1993. The vascular flora of Fort Sumter and Fort Moultrie, South Carolina, one year after Hurricane Hugo. *Castanea*, 58(2), 141–152.
- Stalter, R. and Lamont, E.E., 1997. Flora of North Carolina's outer banks, Ocracoke Island to Virginia. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*, 124(1), 71–88.
- Stalter, R.; Tamory, J.; Lynch, P., and Lockwood, B., 1999. The vascular flora of Biscayne National Park, Florida. *Sida*, 18(4), 1207–1226.
- Stiling, P. and Moon, D.C., 2005. Quality or quantity: The direct and indirect effects of host plants on herbivores and their natural enemies. *Oecologia*, 142(3), 413–420.
- Stiling, P.; Rossi, A.M.; Cattell, M., and Bowdish, T.I., 1999. Weak competition between coastal insect herbivores. *Florida Entomologist*, 82(4), 599–608.
- Stiling, P.; Rossi, A.M.; Strong, D.R., and Johnson, D.M., 1992. Life history and parasites of *Asphondylia borrichiae* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), a gall maker on *Borrichia frutescens*. *Florida Entomologist*, 75(1), 130–137.
- Strake, D.T.; Keagy, A.H., and Stiling, P.D., 2006. Fungi associated with *Borrichia frutescens* (Asteraceae): Insect galls and endophytes. *Sida*, 22(1), 755–763.
- Stutzenbaker, C.D., 1999. *Aquatic and Wetland Plants of the Western Gulf Coast*. Austin, Texas: University of Texas Press, 465p.
- Tanha Maaft, Z.; Amani, M.; Stanley, J.D.; Inserra, R.N.; van den Berg, E., and Subbotin, S.A., 2012. Description of *Tylenchulus musicola* sp. n. (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae) from banana in Iran with molecular phylogeny and characterization of species of *Tylenchulus* Cobb, 1913. *Nematology*, 14(3), 353–369.
- Texas Colonial Waterbird Society, 1982. An atlas and census of Texas waterbird colonies, 1973–1980. Kingsville, Texas: Caesar Kleberg Wildlife Research Institute, Texas A&I University, unpagued.
- Thebeau, L.C. and Chapman, B.R., 1984. Laughing gull nest placement on Little Pelican Island, Galveston Bay. *Southwestern Naturalist*, 29(3), 247–256.
- Thomas, M.L.H., 1993. Mangrove swamps in Bermuda. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, 0(386), 1–17.
- Tunnell, J.W., Jr.; Withers, K., and Hardegree, B., 1997. Environmental Impact and Recovery of the Exxon Pipeline Oil Spill and Burn Site, Upper Copano Bay, Texas: Final Report (1992–1995), Corpus Christi, Texas: Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi, 81p.
- Ungar, I.A., 2001. Seed banks and seed population dynamics of halophytes. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 9(6), 499–510.
- Viscido, S.V. and Wetthey, D.S., 2002. Quantitative analysis of fiddler crab-flock movement: Evidence for 'selfish herd' behavior. *Animal Behavior*, 63(4), 735–741.
- White, D.H.; Mitchell, C.A., and Cromartie, E., 1982. Nesting ecology of roseate spoonbills at Nueces Bay, Texas. *Auk*, 99(2), 275–284.
- Wright, A.J.; Edwards, R.J., and van de Plassche, D., 2011. Reassessing transfer-function performance in sea-level reconstruction based on benthic salt-marsh foraminifera from the Atlantic coast of NE North America. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 81(1,2), 43–62.