



Microsatellite Markers for the Yam Bean *Pachyrhizus* (Fabaceae)

Authors: Delêtre, Marc, Soengas, Beatriz, Utge, José, Lambourdière, Josie, and Sørensen, Marten

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 1(7)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1200551>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PRIMER NOTE

MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR THE YAM BEAN *PACHYRHIZUS* (FABACEAE)¹

MARC DELÉTRE^{2,5}, BEATRIZ SOENGAS², JOSÉ UTGE³, JOSIE LAMBOURDIÈRE³,
AND MARTEN SØRENSEN⁴

²UMR 7206 Eco-anthropologie et ethnobiologie, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Hommes Natures Sociétés, CP 135, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231 Paris CEDEX 05, France; ³SSM-UMS 2700 MNHN-CNRS, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Systématique et Evolution, CP 26, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231 Paris CEDEX 05, France; and ⁴Plant Systematic Group, Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 21, DK-1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

- *Premise of the study:* Microsatellite loci were developed for the understudied root crop yam bean (*Pachyrhizus* spp.) to investigate intraspecific diversity and interspecific relationships within the genus *Pachyrhizus*.
- *Methods and Results:* Seventeen nuclear simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers with perfect di- and trinucleotide repeats were developed from 454 pyrosequencing of SSR-enriched genomic libraries. Loci were characterized in *P. ahipa* and wild and cultivated populations of four closely related species. All loci successfully cross-amplified and showed high levels of polymorphism, with number of alleles ranging from three to 12 and expected heterozygosity ranging from 0.095 to 0.831 across the genus.
- *Conclusions:* By enabling rapid assessment of genetic diversity in three native neotropical crops, *P. ahipa*, *P. erosus*, and *P. tuberosus*, and two wild relatives, *P. ferrugineus* and *P. panamensis*, these markers will allow exploration of the genetic diversity and evolutionary history of the genus *Pachyrhizus*.

Key words: cross-species amplification; Fabaceae; microsatellites; *Pachyrhizus*; pyrosequencing; yam bean.

Yam beans (*Pachyrhizus* Rich. ex DC., Fabaceae) are little-studied plants with edible tuberous roots native to South and Central America. The genus comprises five species, two wild (*P. panamensis* R. T. Clausen and *P. ferrugineus* (Piper) M. Sørensen) and three cultivated (*P. ahipa* (Wedd.) Parodi, *P. erosus* (L.) Urb., and *P. tuberosus* (Lam.) Spreng.). Yam beans are grown for their starchy root but are propagated exclusively through seeds. To stimulate root growth, farmers prune flower buds but leave either one pod on each plant or select a few plants dedicated to seed production. To set conservation strategies, it is necessary to understand how these different methods influence the crop's dynamics of genetic diversity, but this requires molecular tools that yield information on important parameters such as heterozygosity and allelic frequencies needed for the computation of most population genetic statistics. There are to date no available genetic markers for *Pachyrhizus* species. Socially and culturally important but economically marginalized, yam beans are “orphans” to crop science, and few resources have been invested in evaluating the current status of genetic diversity in these minor yet promising crops. The lack of molecular tools

has probably stymied efforts to document these largely untapped genetic resources.

In this paper, we report the isolation and characterization of 17 polymorphic simple sequence repeat nuclear markers for *P. ahipa* and their successful cross-amplification in other *Pachyrhizus* species. Phylogenetic relationships among *Pachyrhizus* species remain largely unresolved. This new set of molecular markers will permit investigation of the phylogeography of the *Pachyrhizus* complex.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Total genomic DNA was extracted from herbarium specimens from 20 mg of lyophilized leaf tissue using NucleoSpin 96 Plant kits (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA was eluted in a final volume of 200 µL, and final concentration was checked using a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Labtech, Palaiseau, France). A sample of 3 µg total DNA at 60 ng/µL final concentration, representing a pool of 12 *P. ahipa* accessions spanning the whole distribution range of the species in Bolivia, was sent to Genoscreen (Lille, France) for production of enriched DNA libraries and 454 GS-FLX Titanium (Roche Applied Science, Meylan, France) pyrosequencing (Malusa et al., 2011). A total of 3454 sequences containing potential microsatellite motifs were produced. Following sequence cleaning and removal of duplicates, 252 primer pairs (only perfect repeats with at least five repeats) were designed using the QDD bioinformatics pipeline (Meglécz et al., 2010).

We selected a set of markers that would cover a wide range of amplification product sizes and could be used in multiplex reactions (i.e., that minimized differences in annealing temperatures and complementarity among primer pairs), targeting in priority loci with the longest di- and trinucleotide repeats (six repeats or more). A cost-efficient approach to selecting markers is to prescreen microsatellites for polymorphism using in silico DNA sequences (Hoffman and Nichols,

¹Manuscript received 17 October 2012; revision accepted 3 January 2013.

The authors thank Gérard Aymonin and Myriam Gaudeul (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France) for providing access to *Pachyrhizus* spp. exsiccatae from the National Herbarium of Paris (P).

⁵Author for correspondence: deletre@mnhn.fr

2011), but very little sequence information is available for the understudied genus *Pachyrhizus*. Blasting primer sequences against sequences available at GenBank for the closest Fabaceae species, we obtained the best results with the model crop *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. (subtribe Glycininae), with a mean query coverage (\pm SE) of 88% (\pm 23) and 93% (\pm 8) identity between *G. max* and *P. ahipa* homologous sequences. Targeting conserved flanking regions among distantly related species can also be a potent way to enhance cross-species utility of microsatellite markers (Dawson et al., 2010). Using microsatellite variability in *G. max* as a proxy to infer variability among putative microsatellites in *Pachyrhizus* spp., we targeted loci most likely to be polymorphic. Thirty-six primer pairs were tested in separate PCRs. Nine pairs failed to produce clear amplicons. A second test was carried out on the 27 primer pairs that amplified using a sample of 144 accessions (wild and cultivated) from herbarium specimens representing variation, morphological, and potential genetic variation across the natural distribution area of the genus (Appendix 1). Multiplex PCR were carried out on an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) using phosphoramidite-labeled oligonucleotides (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, United Kingdom) in a final volume of 12.5 μ L. Along with 1 μ L of nondiluted DNA template, each well contained 6.25 μ L of QIAGEN Type-it Master Mix (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), 1.25 μ L of 10x primer mix (with primers at 2 μ M), and 4 μ L of RNase-free water. An initial activation step at 95°C for 30 s preceded 20 cycles of amplification, each starting with an annealing step of 90 s at 56°C and continuing with an extension at 72°C for 30 s. Amplification ended with a final extension at 60°C for 30 min. To ensure unambiguous peak assignment, primer pairs were pooled in two different sets (M1 and M2) as indicated in Table 1. Multiplex Manager 1.2 software (Holleley and Geerts, 2009) was used to optimize primer combinations.

Genotyping was performed on an ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Perkin Elmer/Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). Each sample was prepared from 1 μ L of PCR template to which 8.8 μ L formamide and 0.2 μ L

GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) were added. Genotypes were extracted and analyzed using GeneMapper 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems). To reduce the risk of typing errors, allele peaks were checked by eye. Cross-species amplification tests succeeded for all loci across the genus. Six loci were strictly monomorphic across all species and were discarded. At the species level, 15 out of the 17 remaining loci were monomorphic in *P. ahipa*, six in the cultivated *P. tuberosus*, and four in the cultivated *P. erosus* (Table 2). Only two and three loci were monomorphic in the wild *P. tuberosus* and wild *P. erosus*, respectively. Number of alleles, observed and expected heterozygosities, and tests for deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were estimated using GenAIEx version 6.41 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Results for each locus and species are summarized in Table 2. The number of alleles ranged from three to 12, with a mean value of (\pm SE) 6.4 ± 3.0 alleles across loci and species. Expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.095 (AIP9) to 0.831 (AIP30). All loci showed significant deviation from HWE in the three cultivated species ($P < 0.001$). Linkage disequilibrium was checked using GENEPOL 4.1.4 (Rousset, 2008). Two pairs of loci showed significant linkage disequilibrium in the cultivated *P. erosus* after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons ($P < 0.0004$). Yam beans are predominantly self-pollinating species with outcrossing rates typically ranging between 2% and 4% (Sørensen, 1996), and physical linkage of loci cannot be distinguished from disequilibrium due to nonrandom mating.

CONCLUSIONS

Conservation of crop genetic resources hinges on the availability of efficient molecular tools to characterize population genetic structure and decipher the dynamics of crop genetic diversity. The case of *Pachyrhizus* illustrates the spillover benefits

TABLE 1. Characteristics of the 17 microsatellite loci developed for *Pachyrhizus* spp.

Locus	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	T _a (°C)	Primer set	5' dye	GenBank accession no.
AIP1	F: CAGTAGCACCTCCACCCTTT R: GTAGAGATCTCCGGTGCAG	(CT) ₉	86–92	56	M1	6-FAM	JX846809
AIP5	F: GTCGCCTGTCCTCACTTTC R: CAACGCACTGTTCTTCAAC	(GAA) ₇	97–109	56	M1	NED	JX846810
AIP9	F: GTGATCTGTTCTCACCG R: TGCAATACAACCCCTTGGTC	(AC) ₁₀	121–127	56	M2	PET	JX846811
AIP10	F: TAATCCAAAATGGGCTTGG R: GGAACATATTCACTGCTTCTTC	(GAA) ₇	122–148	56	M1	6-FAM	JX846812
AIP15	F: AATCCCGATCCTATTCCACC R: TTGGAAGGTGATCATAGGG	(CAA) ₁₄	146–167	56	M2	6-FAM	JX846813
AIP16	F: TGGTTAAAGCCTCTGAATTG R: AGTCAGCACCAAGTCTCCGT	(TC) ₇	172–186	62	M1	6-FAM	JX846814
AIP17	F: TCAGCTGCCATAAGTTGAAGACTC R: TGCAAGGTGATCTCTGAACTC	(TTC) ₁₅	157–211	60	M2	NED	JX846815
AIP19	F: AGTGACATGATCACCCCATTC R: TCGAATCCAGAGATTATGATGG	(AG) ₉	201–205	56	M1	PET	JX846816
AIP21	F: ATGTAACAGTGCCTTGGC R: GAGGCACTGAATTACACTAACAA	(TC) ₈	227–237	56	M1	NED	JX846817
AIP22	F: CCTCTTGTCACTCTTCATCTCC R: CTCTGCAATTCCCTTCCTGA	(TTC) ₁₀	227–263	56	M2	VIC	JX846818
AIP23	F: CAAATCTGACCCCTTAGCG R: AAGCAGGCATAACCTTGTGA	(TCT) ₉	231–252	56	M2	PET	JX846819
AIP27	F: AGCAACTCCTTCATCTCCA R: CAAGGGAGAATTGAGCAGC	(AAC) ₆	295–301	62	M1	VIC	JX846820
AIP28	F: GTAGCCATTGCTATGCCATT R: CGACTGCGTGTAGACTCTG	(TC) ₁₀	85–107	56	M1	PET	JX846821
AIP30	F: TCCATCGTTGCTACAAACACC R: TGAGGAGGAAGAAAGTCAGAGTG	(CTT) ₁₇	281–329	56	M2	6-FAM	JX846822
AIP31	F: CCACTAACTCGTCCATTGC R: CCAAAGGGATATGGAAACGA	(CT) ₁₀	162–198	56	M1	PET	JX846823
AIP34	F: ACGATGGATAACTGTTGACGTG R: AAATGAGGGAGAAGATTGGTG	(CT) ₉	86–90	56	M2	6-FAM	JX846824
AIP36	F: CCCAAACAACATAATGAACCTTGAA R: TGTTCCCTATGAGATGTCGTAT	(AG) ₁₁	188–198	56	M2	6-FAM	JX846825

Note: F = forward primer sequence; R = reverse primer sequence; T_a = optimal annealing temperature.

TABLE 2. Results of initial primer screening in *Pachyrhizus ahipa*, *P. erosus*, and *P. tuberosus* (wild and cultivated) for the 17 polymorphic loci. Cross-amplification tests were also carried in two wild species, *P. ferrugineus* and *P. panamensis*.

Locus	<i>P. ahipa</i> (cultivated)			<i>P. erosus</i> (cultivated)			<i>P. erosus</i> (wild)			<i>P. ferrugineus</i>			<i>P. panamensis</i>			<i>P. tuberosus</i> (cultivated)			<i>P. tuberosus</i> (wild)					
	n	A	H_o	H_e	n	A	H_o	H_e	n	A	H_o	H_e	n	A	H_o	H_e	n	A	H_o	H_e				
AIP1	46	1	—	—	19	1	—	—	14	3	0.071	0.554	4	1	—	—	2	2	0.500	0.375	50	3	0.125	0.477
AIP5	46	1	—	—	19	3	0.000	0.460	14	2	0.000	0.490	4	1	—	—	50	1	—	—	8	1	—	—
AIP9	46	1	—	—	18	1	—	—	14	2	0.000	0.459	4	3	0.500	0.594	2	1	—	—	50	1	—	—
AIP10	46	1	—	—	19	3	0.105	0.517	14	5	0.143	0.758	4	4	0.500	0.719	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	2	0.250	0.773
AIP15	46	1	—	—	19	4	0.000	0.681	14	5	0.000	0.673	4	2	0.000	0.375	2	3	0.500	0.625	50	2	0.250	0.719
AIP16	46	1	—	—	19	1	—	—	14	1	—	—	4	2	0.250	0.219	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	2	0.250	0.461
AIP17	46	2	0.000	0.043	19	5	0.000	0.637	14	7	0.143	0.668	4	1	—	—	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	2	0.250	0.727
AIP19	46	1	—	—	17	2	0.000	0.208	14	2	0.071	0.497	4	2	0.000	0.375	2	1	—	—	50	2	0.000	0.219
AIP21	46	1	—	—	16	3	0.063	0.643	14	3	0.071	0.538	4	3	0.500	0.531	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	1	—	—
AIP22	45	1	—	—	17	3	0.000	0.637	14	5	0.071	0.543	4	2	0.000	0.500	2	3	0.500	0.625	50	2	0.000	0.250
AIP23	46	1	—	—	11	2	0.000	0.397	8	3	0.000	0.594	4	2	0.000	0.375	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	2	0.000	0.365
AIP27	46	1	—	—	14	2	0.000	0.337	14	1	—	—	4	2	0.250	0.469	2	1	—	—	50	1	—	—
AIP28	46	1	—	—	20	2	0.000	0.480	14	3	0.071	0.554	4	3	0.500	0.594	2	2	0.000	0.500	50	1	—	—
AIP30	45	2	0.000	0.411	18	3	0.000	0.475	14	4	0.000	0.612	4	3	0.250	0.531	2	2	0.000	0.500	49	6	0.000	0.493
AIP31	45	1	—	—	15	4	0.000	0.436	11	2	0.091	0.236	1	1	—	—	1	1	—	—	48	3	0.000	0.322
AIP34	46	1	—	—	19	2	0.000	0.188	14	2	0.214	0.436	4	2	0.000	0.375	2	1	—	—	50	1	—	—
AIP36	45	1	—	—	19	1	—	—	14	1	—	—	4	1	—	—	2	2	0.500	0.375	50	2	0.000	0.343

Note: — = H_e and H_o could not be calculated because the locus is monomorphic in this species; A = number of alleles detected; H_o = observed heterozygosity; H_e = expected heterozygosity; n = number of samples genotyped.

to be reaped from next-generation sequencing and research on model plants for the study of minor crops (Varshney et al., 2010). The markers we developed showed high levels of polymorphism and enough discriminant power for distinguishing among varietal groups within species. They will be available for a wide range of applications, from breeding to population genetic studies. Markers also revealed a surprisingly low level of genetic variability in the Bolivian root crop, *P. ahipa*. While the wild parent of the crop has yet to be identified, we will use the new markers to investigate the origin of *P. ahipa*. Results should shed new light on the evolutionary history of the *Pachyrhizus* genus.

LITERATURE CITED

- DAWSON, D. A., G. J. HORSBURGH, C. KÜPPER, I. R. K. STEWART, A. D. BALL, K. L. DURRANT, B. HANSSON, ET AL. 2010. New methods to identify conserved microsatellite loci and develop primer sets of high cross-species utility—As demonstrated for birds. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 10: 475–494.
- HOFFMAN, J. I., AND H. J. NICHOLS. 2011. A novel approach for mining polymorphic microsatellite markers *in silico*. *PLoS ONE* 6: e23283.
- HOLLELEY, C., AND P. GEERTS. 2009. Multiplex Manager 1.0: A cross-platform computer program that plans and optimizes multiplex PCR. *BioTechniques* 46: 511–517.
- MALUSA, T., A. GILLES, E. MEGLÉCZ, H. BLANQUART, S. DUTHOY, C. COSTEDOAT, V. DUBUT, ET AL. 2011. High-throughput microsatellite isolation through 454 GS-FLX Titanium pyrosequencing of enriched DNA libraries. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11: 638–644.
- MEGLÉCZ, E., C. COSTEDOAT, V. DUBUT, A. GILLES, T. MALUSA, N. PECH, AND J. F. MARTIN. 2010. QDD: A user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers from large sequencing projects. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 26: 403–404.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAIEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- ROUSSET, F. 2008. GENEPOLP'007: A complete re-implementation of the GENEPOLP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 103–106.
- SØRENSEN, M. 1996. Yam bean (*Pachyrhizus DC.*). Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops, vol. 2. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany, and International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- VARSHNEY, R. K., J.-C. GLASZMANN, H. LEUNG, AND J.-M. RIBAUT. 2010. More genomic resources for less-studied crops. *Trends in Biotechnology* 28: 452–460.

APPENDIX 1. List of exsiccatae used in cross-species amplification tests. Wild and cultivated specimens are indicated as well as varietal types (when available).

Species	Voucher specimen	Herbarium	Status	Varietal type	Geographic origin	Geographic coordinates	n
<i>P. ahipa</i>	AC102	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-21.516667	-64.75
	AC201	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.991785	-67.65667
	AC202	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.991785	-67.65667
	AC203	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.003605	-67.632637
	AC204	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.991785	-67.65667
	AC205	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.578248	-65.908356
	AC206	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.578248	-65.908356
	AC207	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.578248	-65.908356
	AC208	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.115358	-66.866082
	AC209	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.702337	-67.928724
	AC213	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.565948	-67.450075
	AC214	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-16.816619	-67.58327
	AC521	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-17.386354	-66.166935
	AC526	CP	Cult.		Bolivia	-22.191736	-64.679739
	EC004	CP	Cult.		Mexico	21.036201	-104.371755
	EC006	CP	Cult.		Mexico	17.084025	-96.750269
	EC033	CP	Cult.		Mexico	20.694622	-88.805437
	EC040	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	14.183014	-90.022237
<i>P. erosus</i>	EC042	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	14.198991	-90.051012
	EC043	CP	Cult.	Jícama	Guatemala	13.850747	-90.107489
	EC104	CP	Cult.		Mexico	20.172634	-89.018154
	EC116	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	14.272535	-90.038137
	EC204	CP	Cult.		Mexico	19.453644	-96.958523
	EC205	CP	Cult.		Mexico	20.574095	-100.748026
	EC214	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	16.968801	-89.912224
	EC216	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	16.792709	-89.93351
	EC219	CP	Cult.	Jícama	Guatemala	16.514523	-89.415679
	EC250	CP	Cult.		Guatemala	16.968801	-89.912224
	EC352	CP	Cult.		Honduras	14.89834	-88.721695
	EC353	CP	Cult.		Honduras	14.398769	-89.197369
	EC502	CP	Cult.		Mexico	17.224758	-93.603516
	EC510	CP	Cult.		Mexico	19.848102	-90.522079
	EC559	CP	Cult.	Tipo Nayarit	Mexico	21.813775	-105.207667
	EC560	CP	Cult.	Aqua Dulce	Mexico	21.054305	-104.484372
	EW048	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.495914	-85.358734
<i>P. ferrugineus</i>	EW049	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.495914	-85.358734
	EW050	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.495914	-85.358734
	EW051	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.495914	-85.358734
	EW053	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.51883	-85.25425
	EW054	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.522919	-85.254135
	EW115	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	15.801297	-91.755159
	EW203	CP	Wild		Mexico	19.489088	-96.950426
	EW212	CP	Wild		Guatemala	15.078426	-89.436391
	EW222	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.578947	-85.404396
	EW223	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.547559	-85.681744
	EW229	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	18.457018	-70.121276
	EW230	CP	Wild		Dominican Republic	18.755268	-70.017257
	EW522	CP	Wild		Mauritius	-20.233892	57.497052
	FW044	CP	Wild		Guatemala	15.2835	-89.0653
	FW220	CP	Wild		Costa Rica	10.041001	-83.545998
	FW237	CP	Wild		Martinique	14.74463	-61.172655
<i>P. panamensis</i>	1713	FHO	Wild		Honduras	15.28333333	-87.65
	PW055	CP	Wild		Panama	9.211261	-79.616092
<i>P. tuberosus</i>	PW056	CP	Wild		Panama	-2.235923	-80.0773
	TC063	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Bolivia	-17.402899	-63.769538
	TC210	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Bolivia	-16.313055	-67.604899
	TC239	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.78052	-80.259619
	TC303	CP	Cult.	Iwa	Ecuador	-1.516623	-77.983546
	TC306	CP	Cult.	Iwa	Ecuador	-1.034976	-77.665193
	TC307	CP	Cult.	Capamu	Ecuador	-1.197423	-77.394104
	TC308	CP	Cult.	Capamu	Ecuador	-1.197423	-77.394104
	TC309	CP	Cult.	Namaou	Ecuador	-1.931854	-77.867203
	TC311	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-1.350635	-80.579531
	TC313	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-1.04433	-80.65846
	TC314	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-1.049994	-80.516596
	TC350	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-4.913096	-73.683014
	TC351	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-3.784781	-73.343725
	TC352	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-5.816514	-74.399128

APPENDIX 1. Continued.

Species	Voucher specimen	Herbarium	Status	Varietal type	Geographic origin	Geographic coordinates	n
	TC353	CP	Cult.	Chuin amarillo	Peru	-4.995186	-73.982391
	TC354	CP	Cult.	Chuin blanco	Peru	-9.462608	-74.191132
	TC355	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-9.462608	-74.191132
	TC356	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-4.981505	-73.820343
	TC357	CP	Cult.	Ashipa maron	Peru	-3.783925	-73.344755
	TC358	CP	Cult.	Ashipa maron	Peru	-3.783925	-73.344755
	TC359	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-6.914839	-75.171905
	TC361	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-9.462608	-74.191132
	TC362	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-9.462608	-74.191132
	TC374	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-8.538923	-74.876347
	TC375	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-8.393583	-74.42399
	TC376	CP	Cult.	Yushpe	Peru	-8.688282	-74.432602
	TC532	CP	Cult.	Ajipa	Bolivia	-15.166667	-67.066667
	TC533	CP	Cult.	Ajipa	Bolivia	-14.349548	-67.950125
	TC534	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-6.027214	-76.966839
	TC537	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-12.982437	-71.284111
	TC538	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-13.896077	-71.501198
	TC544	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-4.554522	-73.620987
	TC547	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-4.570265	-73.685417
	TC548	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-4.570265	-73.685417
	TC549	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-4.625704	-73.752708
	TC550	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.78052	-80.259619
	TC551	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.78052	-80.259619
	TC552	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.922554	-80.446064
	TC553	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-1.206948	-80.369039
	TC554	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.92267	-80.445679
	TC555	CP	Cult.	Jíquima	Ecuador	-0.92267	-80.445679
	TC556	CP	Cult.	Iwa	Ecuador	-1.516623	-77.983546
	TC557	CP	Cult.	Iwa	Ecuador	-1.482921	-78.002413
	TC564	CP	Cult.	Cocotichuin	Peru	-3.708167	-73.200167
	TC565	CP	Cult.	Cocotichuin	Peru	-8.735792	-74.540977
	TC566	CP	Cult.	Chuin blanco	Peru	-8.764296	-74.529991
	TC568	CP	Cult.	Ashipa	Peru	-8.692863	-74.414377
	TC575	CP	Cult.	Chuin morado	Peru	-3.708041	-73.200045
	TC577	CP	Cult.	Cocotichuin	Peru	-9.354223	-74.306488
	TC578	CP	Cult.	Chuin blanco	Peru	-8.764296	-74.529991
	TW378	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-0.91659	-77.750037
	TW379	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-2.299945	-78.100054
	TW380	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-3.406414	-78.572431
	TW381	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-3.88318	-78.783488
	TW558	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-1.066685	-79.466693
	TW559	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-1.066642	-79.466693
	TW560	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-1.066642	-79.466693
	TW561	CP	Wild		Ecuador	-0.016136	-79.383488

Note: CP = Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University Herbarium, Copenhagen, Denmark; cult. = cultivated; FHO = University of Oxford, Daubeny Herbarium, Oxford, United Kingdom; n = number of individuals per accession.